Quantum Molecular Dynamics Basics

Aiichiro Nakano

Collaboratory for Advanced Computing & Simulations Depts. of Computer Science, Physics & Astronomy, Chemical Engineering & Materials Science, and Biological Sciences University of Southern California Email: anakano@usc.edu





Objective

- Derive quantum molecular dynamics (QMD) equations, which follow classical-mechanical trajectories of atoms, while computing interatomic interactions quantum mechanically:
 - 1. Ehrenfest molecular dynamics (EMD)
 - Solves the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for electrons
 - Attosecond (10⁻¹⁸ s) to femtosecond (10⁻¹⁵ s) electron dynamics
 P. Ehrenfest, *Zeit. Phys.* 45, 455 ('27)
 - 2. Born-Oppenheimer molecular dynamics (BOMD)
 - Obtain the electronic ground state at every time instance
 - Electron & nucleus dynamics above femtosecond (10⁻¹⁵ s)

M. Born & R. Oppenheimer, Annal. Phys. 84, 457 ('27)



Paul Ehrenfest (1880-1933)



Max Born (1882-1970)



Robert Oppenheimer (1904-1967)

Electron-Nucleus Dynamics

- Consider a system of N electrons & N_{atom} nuclei, with their position operators, $\{\mathbf{r}_{i} | i = 1, ..., N\}$ & $\{\mathbf{R}_{I} | I = 1, ..., N_{\text{atom}}\}$ $H = \sum_{I=1}^{N_{\text{atom}}} \frac{\mathbf{P}_{I}^{2}}{2M_{I}} + h(\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\}, \{\mathbf{R}_{I}\})$ $= \sum_{I=1}^{N_{\text{atom}}} \left[\frac{\mathbf{P}_{I}^{2}}{2M_{I}} + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{R}_{I}) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}^{2}} + v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}_{i}) \right]$ $+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^{2}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{Z_{j}e^{2}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{j}|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq j} \frac{Z_{I}Z_{J}e^{2}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{j}|}$ electron-electron electron nuclei nuclei nuclei nuclei interaction interaction interaction
- Here, \hbar is the Planck constant, P_I , $M_I \& Z_I$ are the momentum, mass & charge of the *I*-th nucleus, and *m* & *e* are the electron mass & charge; $V_{ext} \& v_{ext}$ are external potentials (like external electric field) acting on nuclei & electrons, respectively
- We focus on the system dynamics described by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, where Ψ_{e+n} is the electron-nucleus wave function & t is the time

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi_{e+n}(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}, \{\mathbf{R}_I\}, t) = H \Psi_{e+n}(\{\mathbf{r}_i\}, \{\mathbf{R}_I\}, t)$$

Separation of Length Scales

- Due to the much larger nuclei masses (M_I) compared to the electron mass (m), the quantum-mechanical nature of nuclei is negligible except in extreme cases like nuclear fusion
- More specifically, the length scale below which a particle's quantummechanical nature becomes appreciable at a given temperature *T* (*i.e.*, thermal de Broglie wave length) is much smaller for nuclei than for electron

Λ	$I_I = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2\pi M_I k_B T}} \ll \underbrace{\lambda}_{\substack{\text{length sc}}}$ of interest in	$\sim \Lambda_{\rm electron} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2\pi m k_{\rm B} T}}$
	Element	Λ (a.u.) at 300 K
	electron proton C O Zn	12.94 0.302 8.72×10 ⁻² 7.55×10 ⁻² 3.74×10 ⁻²

1 a.u. (bohr) = 0.529 Å

• Motivate classical & quantum-mechanical descriptions of nuclei & electrons, respectively

Ehrenfest Molecular Dynamics (EMD)

• Small *ħ* expansion, applied to the nucleus degrees-of-freedom, leads to mixed quantum (for electrons) & classical (for nuclei) dynamical equations

Classical Newton's equation of motion for nucleus positions

$$M_{I} \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} \mathbf{R}_{I} = -\left\langle \Psi(t) \middle| \frac{\partial h(\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\}, \{\mathbf{R}_{I}\})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} \middle| \Psi(t) \right\rangle$$
$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi(t)\rangle = h(\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\}, \{\mathbf{R}_{I}\}) |\Psi(t)\rangle$$

Time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the electronic wave function $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$

• See notes on:

(1) QMD summary & (2) QMD equation

Derivation of EMD Equations (1)

• Dynamics of the electron-nucleus system is encoded in the scattering matrix (or S matrix) in the closed-time path integral form

Unitary time propagator

$$S = \frac{1}{\sum_{k} \rho_{k}(\mathbf{R})} \sum_{k} \rho_{k}(\mathbf{R}) \langle k\mathbf{R} | U_{-}(t_{0}, t_{f}) U_{+}(t_{f}, t_{0}) | k\mathbf{R} \rangle$$

Initial probability of (k, \mathbf{R}) state at time t_0

k-th electronic state with nucleus positions **R**



$$U_{\pm}(t,t') = T_{\pm} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^{t} d\tau H_{\pm}(\tau)\right)$$

Time-ordering operator

• See notes on:

(1) unitary time propagation; (2) closed-time path integral; (3) QMD equation

K.-c. Chou *et al.*, "Equilibrium & nonequilibrium formalisms made unified," *Phys. Rep.* **118**, 1 ('85)

Derivation of EMD Equations (2)

• Path-integral w.r.t. nucleus trajectories: $H(t) = \frac{P^2}{2M} + h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)$

$$S = \int_{\text{path},p}^{\mathbf{R}(t_0) = \mathbf{R}} D[\mathbf{R}(t)] \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\mathbf{R}(t)]\right) T[\mathbf{R}(t)]$$
$$S_0[\mathbf{R}(t)] = \int_p dt \frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{R}}{dt}\right)^2$$
$$T[\mathbf{R}(t)] = \frac{1}{\sum_k \rho_k(\mathbf{R})} \sum_k \rho_k(\mathbf{R}) \left\langle k\mathbf{R} \middle| T_p \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_p dth(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}(t), t)\right] \middle| k\mathbf{R} \right\rangle$$



• See note on: QMD equation

Derivation of EMD Equations (3)

• Keep the leading term of the \hbar expansion (*i.e.* saddle-point approximation) of the path integral

$$\delta\left\{S_0[\mathbf{R}(t)] + \frac{\hbar}{i}\ln T[\mathbf{R}(t)]\right\} = 0$$

which amounts to

$$M\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathbf{R}_I = -\frac{1}{\sum_k \rho_k(\mathbf{R})} \sum_k \rho_k(\mathbf{R}) \left\langle k(t)\mathbf{R} \right| \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}(t), t)}{\partial \mathbf{R}(t)} \left| k(t)\mathbf{R} \right\rangle$$
$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |k(t), \mathbf{R}\rangle = h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}(t), t) |k(t), \mathbf{R}\rangle$$

See notes on

(1) QMD equation & (2) functional derivative

EMD Application: Electron Mobility

• Electron transport in condensed matter under electric field *E*

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = h(\mathbf{r}, {\mathbf{R}_{I}(t)}, t)$$
$$h(\mathbf{r}, {\mathbf{R}_{I}(t)}, t) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - e \mathcal{E}t\right)^{2} + v(\mathbf{r}, {\mathbf{R}_{I}(t)})$$

- For the computation of electronic conductivity & associated gauge transformation, see the note on quantum dynamical computation of electronic conductivity
- A. Nakano, P. Vashishta & R. K. Kalia, "Electron transport in disordered systems: a nonequilibrium quantum molecular dynamics approach," *Phys. Rev. B* 43, 10928 ('91)
- A. Nakano, P. Vashishta & R. K. Kalia, "Probing localization & mobility of an excess electron in a-Si by quantum molecular dynamics," *Phys. Rev. B* 45, 8363 ('92)

EMD Application: Attosecond Dynamics



 Ehrenfest dynamics codes by Prof. Kazuhiro Yabana's group ARTED: https://github.com/ARTED/ARTED
 SALMON: http://salmon-tddft.jp



Born-Oppenheimer Molecular Dynamics

- Due to the much larger nuclei masses (M_I) compared to the electron mass (m), the quantum-mechanical wave function of the system is separable to those of the electrons & nuclei
- At ambient conditions, the electronic wave function remains in its ground state (|Ψ₀⟩) with the energy eigenvalue ε₀, corresponding to the instantaneous nuclei positions ({R_I}), with the latter following classical mechanics

$$M_{I} \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} \mathbf{R}_{I} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} \langle \Psi_{0} | h(\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\}, \{\mathbf{R}_{I}\}) | \Psi_{0} \rangle$$
$$h(\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\}, \{\mathbf{R}_{I}\}) | \Psi_{0} \rangle = \epsilon_{0} | \Psi_{0} \rangle$$

• See notes on:

(1) QMD summary & (2) adiabatic approximation

Born-Oppenheimer (BO) MD Derivation (1)

• Expand the wave function in terms of the complete set of eigenstates, $\{\psi_k(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})\}$, with fixed nuclei position R (*i.e.*, adiabatic basis)

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) = \sum_{k} \chi_{k}(\mathbf{R}, t) \psi_{k}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$$
$$h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) \psi_{k}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = E_{k}(\mathbf{R}) \psi_{k}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$$

• Resulting time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{I}\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M_{I}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\mathbf{R}_{I}^{2}} - E_{k}(\mathbf{R}) - T_{kk}(\mathbf{R}) \end{bmatrix} \chi_{k}(\mathbf{R},t) = \sum_{k'\neq k}T_{kk'}(\mathbf{R})\chi_{k'}(\mathbf{R},t)$$
$$T_{kk'}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{I}\left\langle k \left| \frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{R}_{I}} \right| k' \right\rangle \cdot \frac{\hbar}{iM_{I}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{R}_{I}} - \sum_{I}\left\langle k \left| \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M_{I}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\mathbf{R}_{I}^{2}} \right| k' \right\rangle$$

Nonadiabatic coupling due to nuclei motion

• See notes on:

(1) QMD summary & (2) adiabatic approximation

Born-Oppenheimer (BO) MD Derivation (2)

• Born-Oppenheimer approximation neglects all T_{kk} , terms; when in the electronic ground state (k = 0), off-diagonal transition is negligible if

 $|T_{k0}(\mathbf{R})| \ll E_k(\mathbf{R}) - E_0(\mathbf{R})$

diagonal term T_{kk} was shown to be $O(m/M_I)$

• Classical limit of the resulting equation for nuclei can be derived using the same \hbar expansion as in the derivation of Ehrenfest MD

$$\begin{bmatrix} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{I} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M_{I}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}^{2}} - E_{k}(\mathbf{R}) \end{bmatrix} \chi_{k}(\mathbf{R}, t) = 0$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$M_{I} \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} \mathbf{R}_{I} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} E_{k}(\mathbf{R}) = -\left\langle k \left| \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{R}} \right| k \right\rangle$$

Hellmann-Feynman theorem

(1) QMD summary & (2) adiabatic approximation

See notes on:

Hellmann-Feynman Theorem

• Consider a Hamiltonian that include a parameter λ (in our case, nuclei positions R)

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \langle \psi_{\lambda} | \hat{H}_{\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} | \hat{H}_{\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \hat{H}_{\lambda} | \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}_{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \right\rangle \\ &= E_{\lambda} \left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \right\rangle + E_{\lambda} \left\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}_{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \right\rangle \\ &= E_{\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \langle \psi_{\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle + \left\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}_{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}_{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \right\rangle. \quad \langle \psi_{\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle = 1 \end{split}$$

BOMD Application: H₂ Production from Water

16,661-atom BOMD simulation of Li₄₄₁Al₄₄₁ in water on 786,432 IBM Blue Gene/Q cores

K. Shimamura *et al., Nano Lett*. **14**, 4090 ('14)



21,140 time steps (129,208 self-consistent-field iterations); unit time-step = 0.242 fs

Berry Phase

• The adiabatic basis (electronic eigenstates with fixed nucleus positions R(t) at each instance of time t) with energy $E_k(R)$, used here, plays a role in the discussion of Berry (or geometric) phase of electronic wave function during adiabatic turning-on/off of external potential

$$|k(t)\rangle = \exp(i\gamma_k(t))\exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^t dt' E_k(\mathbf{R}(t'))\right]|k(t=0)\rangle$$
$$\gamma_k = \int_{\text{path}} dR \bullet i\left\langle k(\mathbf{R}) \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}}\right|k(\mathbf{R})\right\rangle$$
Berry phase

- Integration of the Berry phase along a closed path can be nonzero, which is observable (*e.g.* Aharonov-Bohm effect)
- QXMD uses it to compute electronic polarizability

D. Xiao et al., "Berry phase effects on electronic properties," Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1959 ('10)

Quantum-Mechanical Calculation of Polarization

- While polarization $P = \int d\mathbf{r} |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2$ is ill-defined under periodic boundary condition, its change $\Delta P = \int_0^t dt' \mathbf{j}$ is well-defined, with a proper gauge to compute current \mathbf{j} (note on quantum dynamical computation of electronic conductivity)
- Change of polarization upon adiabatic switching of finite electric field ${\cal E}$ with periodic boundary condition

R. Resta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1800 ('98); P. Umari & A. Pasquarello, ibid. 89, 157602 ('02)

$$\Delta P_{\text{el},x} = -\frac{L}{\pi} \text{Im}(\ln \det[\langle \psi_m | \exp(i2\pi x/L) | \psi_n \rangle]) \quad (m, n \in \{\text{occupied}\})$$
$$\{\psi_m\} = \operatorname{argmin}(E_{\text{Kohn-Sham}}[\{\psi_m\}] - \mathcal{E}\Delta P_{\text{el},x}[\{\psi_m\}])$$

• The above formula is equivalent to a sum of valence-band Berry phases R. D. King-Smith & D. Vanderbilt, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 1651('93); I. Souza, J. Iniguez & D. Vanderbilt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 117602 ('02)

$$\Delta \mathbf{P_{el}} = \int_0^{\mathcal{E}} d\lambda \frac{\partial \mathbf{P_{el}}}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{ie}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{n \in \{\text{occupied}\}} \int_{\text{Brillouin zone}} d\mathbf{k} \left\langle \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \middle| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \middle| \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle$$

• Above a critical field $\mathcal{E}_c \sim$ (energy gap)/(simulation cell size), the energy functional has no minimum, indicating Zener breakdown (*i.e.* tunneling from valence to conduction bands)