

Second Quantization and Slater Determinants (I)

2/21/10

- Consider a system of N electrons with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N h(r_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} u(r_i, r_j) \quad (1)$$

where the one- and two-body terms are

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} h(r) &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + V(r) \end{aligned} \right. \quad (2)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} u(r, r') &= \frac{e^2}{|r - r'|} \end{aligned} \right. \quad (3)$$

- The wave function of the system, $\Psi(r_1, \dots, r_N, t)$, should satisfy the following two conditions:

① It follows the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(r_1, \dots, r_N, t) = H \Psi(r_1, \dots, r_N, t) \quad (4)$$

② It is anti-symmetric

$$\Psi(\dots r_i \dots r_j \dots) = -\Psi(\dots r_j \dots r_i \dots) \quad (5)$$

Consider an orthonormal set of single-electron wave functions,
 $\{\psi_{\kappa}(r) \mid \kappa = 1, \dots, \infty\}$ (6)

and an anti-symmetric linear combination of the single-electron states, i.e., a Slater determinant,

$$\Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N}(r_1 \dots r_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{\kappa_1}(r_1) & \dots & \psi_{\kappa_1}(r_N) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_{\kappa_N}(r_1) & \dots & \psi_{\kappa_N}(r_N) \end{vmatrix} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_P (-1)^P \psi_{\kappa_{P(1)}}(r_1) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(N)}}(r_N) \quad (8)$$

where P denotes permutation. To make the sign unique, we require that the occupied one-electron states are ordered in an ascending order,

$$\kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \dots < \kappa_N \quad (9)$$

(Th 1) Slater determinant is anti-symmetric with respect to the interchange of coordinates.

☺

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_i \dots \kappa_j \dots \kappa_N}(r_1 \dots r_j \dots r_i \dots r_N) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_P (-1)^P \psi_{\kappa_{P(1)}}(r_1) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(i)}}(r_j) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(j)}}(r_i) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(N)}}(r_N) \\ &P' \equiv (i \leftrightarrow j) P \Rightarrow P'(i) = P(j); P'(j) = P(i) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P'} (-1)^{P'+1} \psi_{\kappa_{P'(1)}}(r_1) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P'(j)}}(r_j) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P'(i)}}(r_i) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P'(N)}}(r_N) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P'} (-1)^{P'} \psi_{\kappa_{P'(1)}}(r_1) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P'(i)}}(r_i) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P'(j)}}(r_j) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P'(N)}}(r_N) \\ &= -\Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_i \dots \kappa_j \dots \kappa_N}(r_1 \dots r_j \dots r_i \dots r_N) // \end{aligned}$$

(Th 2) Slater determinant cannot contain the same state twice; thus the absence of equality in Eq. (9).

☹

Let us assume that rows i and $i+1$ occupy an identical state κ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \underset{i \neq i+1}{\kappa} \dots \kappa_N} (r_1 \dots r_N) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_P (-1)^P \psi_{\kappa_{P(1)}} (r_1) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(i)}} (r_i) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(i+1)}} (r_{i+1}) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(N)}} (r_N) \\ &\quad \underbrace{\hspace{15em} \text{Let us assume } P(i) = k \ \& \ P(i+1) = l} \\ &= - \psi_{\kappa_{P(i)}} (r_i) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(i+1)}} (r_{i+1}) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(i)}} (r_{i+1}) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(i+1)}} (r_i) \dots \psi_{\kappa_{P(N)}} (r_N) \\ &\quad \hspace{15em} \parallel \hspace{10em} \parallel \hspace{1em} \text{☹ assumption} \\ &= - \Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \underset{i \neq i+1}{\kappa} \dots \kappa_N} (r_1 \dots r_N) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \underset{i \neq i+1}{\kappa} \dots \kappa_N} (r_1 \dots r_N) = 0 \quad //$$

(Th 3) (Orthonormality)

$$\langle \Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N} | \Phi_{\kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N} \rangle \equiv \int dr_1 \dots dr_N \Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N}^* (r_1 \dots r_N) \Phi_{\kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N} (r_1 \dots r_N) \quad (10)$$

$$= \delta_{\kappa_1 \kappa'_1} \dots \delta_{\kappa_N \kappa'_N} \quad (11)$$

☹

If the set of occupied states, $(\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N)$ and $(\kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N)$, are not identical, then the inner product contains at least one one-electron integration between dissimilar states,

$$\int dr_i \psi_{\kappa}^* (r_i) \psi_{\kappa'} (r_i) = 0,$$

which makes the N -electron inner product, Eq. (10), zero.

On the other hand, if $(k_1 \dots k_N)$ and $(k'_1 \dots k'_N)$ are identical,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_{k_1 \dots k_N} | \Phi_{k'_1 \dots k'_N} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P \sum_{P'} (-1)^{P+P'} \\ &\times \underbrace{\int d\tau_1 \psi_{k_{P(1)}}^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{k_{P'(1)}}(\mathbf{r}_1)}_{\delta_{P(1)P'(1)}} \times \dots \times \underbrace{\int d\tau_N \psi_{k_{P(N)}}^*(\mathbf{r}_N) \psi_{k_{P'(N)}}(\mathbf{r}_N)}_{\delta_{P(N)P'(N)}} \\ &\quad \prod_i \delta_{P(i)P'(i)} \equiv \delta_{PP'} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P 1 = \frac{1}{N!} \cdot N! = 1 \quad //$$

In summary, the set of Slater determinants, Eq.(7), with all distinct occupancies is an orthonormal basis sets in \mathbb{R}^N .

— The N-electron wave function can be expanded as

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, t) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_\infty} f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) \Phi_{n_1, \dots, n_\infty}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \quad (12)$$

$$\equiv \sum_{\substack{k_1 < \dots < k_N \\ (n_1, \dots, n_\infty)}} f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) \Phi_{k_1, \dots, k_N}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \quad (13)$$

where, for each single-electron state u , we specify the occupation number, $n_u \in \{0, 1\}$, such that

$$\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} n_u = n_1 + \dots + n_\infty = N \quad (14)$$

and $\sum_{\substack{k_1 < \dots < k_N \\ (n_1, \dots, n_\infty)}}$ denotes the sum over all states, $k_1 < \dots < k_N$, of

N electrons, which are consistent with the occupation numbers.

- Substituting the expansion, Eq. (12), into the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, Eq. (4),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & i\hbar \sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(n_1 \dots n_\infty, t) \right] \Phi_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty}(r_1 \dots r_N) \\
 &= \sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty} f(n'_1 \dots n'_\infty, t) \sum_{i=1}^N \hbar(r_i) \Phi_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty}(r_1 \dots r_N) \\
 &+ \sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty} f(n'_1 \dots n'_\infty, t) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \mathcal{U}(r_i, r_j) \Phi_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty}(r_1 \dots r_N) \quad (15)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\int dr_1 \dots dr_N \Phi_{n_1 \dots n_\infty}^*(r_1 \dots r_N) \times \text{Eq. (15)}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(n_1 \dots n_\infty, t) \quad (\odot \text{ orthonormality Eq. (11)})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty} f(n'_1 \dots n'_\infty, t) \sum_{i=1}^N \int dr_1 \dots dr_N \Phi_{k_1 \dots k_N}^*(r_1 \dots r_N) \hbar(r_i) \Phi_{k'_1 \dots k'_N}(r_1 \dots r_N) \quad (\alpha) \\
 &+ \sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty} f(n'_1 \dots n'_\infty, t) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int dr_1 \dots dr_N \Phi_{k_1 \dots k_N}^*(r_1 \dots r_N) \mathcal{U}(r_i, r_j) \Phi_{k'_1 \dots k'_N}(r_1 \dots r_N) \quad (\beta)
 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

- One-body matrix elements

To evaluate the one-body term (\hat{R}) in Eq. (16), let us consider a one-body matrix element between 2 Slater determinants,

$$\langle K | \hat{O}_1 | K' \rangle$$

$$= \langle \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N | \sum_{i=1}^N h(r_i) | \kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N \rangle \quad (17)$$

$$= \int dr_1 \dots dr_N \Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N}^*(r_1 \dots r_N) \sum_{i=1}^N h(r_i) \Phi_{\kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N}(r_1 \dots r_N) \quad (18)$$

The matrix element is nonzero only if $(\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N)$ and $(\kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N)$ differ at most at one place.

Case 1: $(\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N) = (\kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N)$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N | h(r_i) | \kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N \rangle &= \int dr_1 \dots dr_N \Phi_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N}^*(r_1 \dots r_N) h(r_i) \Phi_{\kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N}(r_1 \dots r_N) \\ &\quad r_i \leftrightarrow r'_i \\ &= \int dr_1 \dots dr_N (-1)^{\text{sgn}} \Phi_{\kappa}^*(r_1 \dots r_i \dots r_N) h(r_i) (-1)^{\text{sgn}} \Phi_{\kappa'}(r_1 \dots r_i \dots r_N) \\ &\quad \text{Rename } r_i \leftrightarrow r'_i \\ &= \langle \kappa_1 \dots \kappa_N | h(r_i) | \kappa'_1 \dots \kappa'_N \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \langle K | \sum_{i=1}^N h(r_i) | K' \rangle$$

$$= N \langle K | h(r_1) | K' \rangle \quad (19)$$

$$\therefore \langle K | \Theta_i | K \rangle$$

$$= \frac{N}{N!} \sum_P \sum_{P'} (-1)^{P+P'} \int dr_1 \dots dr_N \psi_{k_{P(1)}}^*(r_1) \dots \psi_{k_{P(N)}}^*(r_N) h(r_1) \psi_{k_{P'(1)}}(r_1) \dots \psi_{k_{P'(N)}}(r_N)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \sum_P \sum_{P'} (-1)^{P+P'} \int d1 \dots dN P[k_1^*(1) \dots k_N^*(N)] h(1) P'[k_1(1) \dots k_N(N)] \quad (20)$$

Here, we have denoted r_i by i and

$$P[k_1(1) \dots k_N(N)] \equiv \psi_{k_{P(1)}}(r_1) \dots \psi_{k_{P(N)}}(r_N) \quad (21)$$

Equation (20) is nonzero only if $P'=P$, hence $(-1)^{P+P}=1$ (otherwise one-body integration between orthogonal one-electron states appear, which is zero).

$$\therefore \langle K | \Theta_i | K \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \sum_P \int d1 \dots dN P[k_1^*(1) \dots k_N^*(N)] h(1) P[k_1(1) \dots k_N(N)]$$

The permutation P places each k_i $(N-1)!$ times (i.e., the combination to place the rest of $(N-1)$ states in coordinates $r_2 \dots r_N$), thus

$$\langle K | \Theta_i | K \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\cancel{(N-1)!} \times (N-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle k_i | h | k_i \rangle \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{j \neq i} \langle k_j | k_j \rangle}_{=1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle k_i | h | k_i \rangle$$

(22)

where

$$\langle \kappa | h | \kappa \rangle \equiv \int d1r \psi_{\kappa}^*(1r) h(1r) \psi_{\kappa}(1r) \quad (23)$$

The sum over the occupied states can be replaced by a sum over all one-electron states κ weighted by the occupation number:

$$\langle K | O_1 | K \rangle = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} n_{\kappa} \langle \kappa | h | \kappa \rangle \quad (24)$$

Case 2: $K = 1 \dots m \dots$
 $K' = 1 \dots p \dots$

(Example)

	1	2	3	4	5 = N
K	1	3	5	7	9
K'	1	8	5	7	9

↖ ↗

Again

$$\langle K | O_1 | K' \rangle$$

$$= N \langle K | h(1r) | K' \rangle$$

$$= N \cdot \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P \sum_{P'} (-1)^{P+P'} \int d1 \dots dN P[\dots m \dots] h(1) P'[\dots p \dots]$$

The nonzero contribution comes when P' first aligns state p with m (see example), with the sign

$$(-1)^{S_p - S_m}$$

where

$$S_k = n_1 + \dots + n_{k-1} = \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} n_{k'} \quad (25)$$

then P and P' work identically to place states m and p in the first place (i.e., P determines P' uniquely). This happens $(N-1)!$ times (to place the $(N-1)$ remaining states in $r_2 \dots r_N$).

$$\therefore \langle K | \theta_i | K' \rangle$$

$$= \cancel{N} \cdot \frac{1}{\cancel{N}!} (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \cdot \cancel{(N-1)!} \langle m | h | p \rangle \quad (26)$$

In summary, one-body matrix elements are

Case 1: $K = K'$

$$\langle K | \theta_i | K \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k \langle k | h | k \rangle \quad (24)$$

Case 2: $K = | \dots m \dots \rangle$

$K' = | \dots p \dots \rangle$

$$\langle K | \theta_i | K' \rangle = (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \langle m | h | p \rangle \quad (25)$$

where

$$\langle m | h | p \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_m^*(\mathbf{r}) h(\mathbf{r}) \psi_p(\mathbf{r}) \quad (23)$$

— Substituting Eqs. (24) and (25) to (16), the one-body contribution to the r.h.s. of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation is

$$(C) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k \langle u | h | u \rangle \right) f(n_1 \dots n_{\infty}, t) \\ + \sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_{\infty}} (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \langle m | h | p \rangle f(n'_1 \dots n'_{\infty}, t)$$

In the second m can be any of the occupied state, which can be replaced by any unoccupied state p . Thus the enumeration of all possible k' amounts to

$$\sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_{\infty}} \rightarrow \sum_k n_k \sum_{k'} (1 - n_{k'})$$

$$\therefore (C) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k \langle u | h | u \rangle \right) f(n_1 \dots n_{\infty}, t)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{S_k - S_{k'}} n_k (1 - n_{k'}) \langle u | h | k' \rangle f(\dots n_k - 1 \dots n_{k'} + 1 \dots)$$

(26)

- Two-body matrix elements

To evaluate the two-body term (β) in Eq. (16), let us consider a two-body matrix element between 2 Slater determinants,

$$\langle K | \mathcal{O}_2 | K' \rangle$$

$$= \langle K | \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} U(r_i, r_j) | K' \rangle \quad (27)$$

It should be noted that $U(r, r')$ is symmetric with respect to the interchange of r and r' ,

$$U(r_j, r_i) = \frac{e^2}{|r_j - r_i|} = \frac{e^2}{|r_i - r_j|} = U(r_i, r_j) \quad (28)$$

The matrix element is nonzero only if $(k_1 \dots k_N)$ and $(k'_1 \dots k'_N)$ differ at most at two places.

Case 1: $(k_1 \dots k_N) = (k'_1 \dots k'_N)$

Note

$$\langle k_1 \dots k_N | U(r_i, r_j) | k'_1 \dots k'_N \rangle$$

$$= \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \dots d\mathbf{r}_N \Phi_{k_1 \dots k_N}^* (\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_N) U(r_i, r_j) \Phi_{k'_1 \dots k'_N} (\mathbf{r}_1 \dots \mathbf{r}_N)$$

$$r_1 \leftrightarrow r_i ; r_2 \leftrightarrow r_j$$

$$= \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \dots d\mathbf{r}_N \Phi_{k_1 \dots k_N}^* (r_i, r_j, \dots) U(r_i, r_j) \Phi_{k'_1 \dots k'_N} (r_i, r_j, \dots) \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Two exchanges;} \\ \text{no sign change} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Rename } r_1 \leftrightarrow r_i, r_2 \leftrightarrow r_j$$

$$= \langle k_1 \dots k_N | U(r_i, r_j) | k'_1 \dots k'_N \rangle$$

$$\therefore \langle K | \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} u(r_i, r_j) | K' \rangle$$

$$= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \langle K | u(r_1, r_2) | K' \rangle \quad (29)$$

$$\langle K | \Theta_2 | K \rangle$$

$$= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \langle K | u(r_1, r_2) | K \rangle$$

$$= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P \sum_{P'} (-1)^{P+P'} \int d^1 \dots d^N P [k_1^* \dots k_N^*] \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} P' [k_1(1) \dots k_N(N)]$$

The contribution is nonzero only when two of the occupied states, $k_i < k_j$, are placed in the first two places by both P and P' , and for each case they place the remaining $(N-2)$ states at $r_3 \dots r_N$ in $(N-2)!$ ways.

$$\therefore \langle K | \Theta_2 | K \rangle$$

$$= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \sum_{k_i < k_j} \frac{1}{N!} \int d^1 d^2 \left\{ k_i^*(1) k_j^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} [k_i(1) k_j(2) - k_j(1) k_i(2)] \right. \\ \left. + k_j^*(1) k_i^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} [k_j(1) k_i(2) - k_i(1) k_j(2)] \right\}$$

dummy $1 \leftrightarrow 2$
 $\times (N-2)!$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_i < k_j} \int d^1 d^2 k_i^*(1) k_j^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} [k_i(1) k_j(2) - k_j(1) k_i(2)] \times \cancel{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_i \neq k_j} \int d1 d2 \psi_{k_i}^*(1) \psi_{k_j}^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} [\psi_{k_i}(1) \psi_{k_j}(2) - \psi_{k_j}(1) \psi_{k_i}(2)]$$

Since $\psi_{k_i}(1) \psi_{k_j}(2) - \psi_{k_j}(1) \psi_{k_i}(2) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, we can remove the restriction $k_i \neq k_j$ in the summation, and hence

$$\langle K | \mathcal{O}_2 | K \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_i=1}^N \sum_{k_j=1}^N \int d1 d2 \psi_{k_i}^*(1) \psi_{k_j}^*(2) \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} [\psi_{k_i}(1) \psi_{k_j}(2) - \psi_{k_j}(1) \psi_{k_i}(2)]$$

We further replace the sum over occupied states by a sum over all states weighted by the occupation number.

$$\therefore \langle K | \mathcal{O}_2 | K \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{\infty} n_k n_{k'} (\langle k k' | k k' \rangle - \langle k k' | k' k \rangle) \quad (30)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{\infty} n_k n_{k'} \langle k k' | | k k' \rangle \quad (31)$$

where the two-electron integral is defined as

$$\langle i j | k l \rangle = \int d1 d1' \psi_i^*(1r) \psi_j^*(1r') \frac{e^2}{|1r-1r'|} \psi_k(1r) \psi_l(1r') \quad (32)$$

and the antisymmetrized two-electron integral is

$$\langle i j | | k l \rangle = \int d1 d1' \psi_i^*(1r) \psi_j^*(1r') \frac{e^2}{|1r-1r'|} [\psi_k(1r) \psi_l(1r') - \psi_l(1r) \psi_k(1r')] \quad (33)$$

Case 2: $|K\rangle = | \dots m \dots \rangle$

$|K'\rangle = | \dots p \dots \rangle$

$$\langle K | O_2 | K' \rangle$$

$$= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P \sum_{P'} (-1)^{P+P'} \int d1 \dots dN P[\dots m \dots] \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} P'[\dots p \dots]$$

Since m is orthogonal to any state in K' , it has to be placed at either r_1 and r_2 ; once m is placed at r_1 or r_2 , any of the $(N-1)$ states common to K and K' , k_i , should be placed at r_2 or r_1 . P' should first aligns P with state m , with sign $(-1)^{S_P - S_m}$, and should place p and k_i in the first 2 places. For each of the state choices for r_1 and r_2 , the remaining $(N-2)$ states should be identically placed by P and P' at $r_3 \dots r_N$ in $(N-2)!$ ways.

$$\langle K | O_2 | K' \rangle$$

$$= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{k_i \neq m} (-1)^{S_P - S_m} \left[\langle m k_i | p k_i \rangle - \langle m k_i | k_i p \rangle + \langle k_i m | k_i p \rangle - \langle k_i m | p k_i \rangle \right] \times (N-2)!$$

note p is none of k_i

equal by $1 \leftrightarrow 2$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_i \neq m} (-1)^{S_P - S_m} \langle m k_i | p k_i \rangle \times 2$$

If $k_i = m$,

$$\langle m m | p m \rangle = \langle m m | p m \rangle - \underbrace{\langle m m | m p \rangle}_{\text{dummy } 1 \leftrightarrow 2} = 0$$

$$= \langle m m | p m \rangle$$

Thus the condition $k_i \neq m$ can be removed. By replacing the occupied-state sum over k_i by the infinite state sum weighted with the occupation number,

$$\langle K | \theta_2 | K' \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{S_p - S_m} n_{k\kappa} \langle m\kappa | p\kappa \rangle \quad (34)$$

Case 3: $|K\rangle = | \dots m \dots n \dots \rangle$

$|K'\rangle = | \dots p \dots q \dots \rangle$

$\langle K | \theta_2 | K' \rangle$

$$= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P \sum_{P'} (-1)^{P+P'} \int d1 \dots dN P[\dots m \dots n \dots] \frac{e^2}{r_{12}} P'[\dots p \dots q \dots]$$

The permutations P and P' should place m and n and p and q , respectively, at r_1 and r_2 , and otherwise identical. For each choice, they place the remaining $(N-2)$ states at $r_3 \dots r_N$ identically in $(N-2)!$ ways.

$\therefore \langle K | \theta_2 | K' \rangle$

$$= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{1}{N!} (N-2)! \cdot (-1)^{S_p - S_m + S_q - S_n} [\langle mn | p q \rangle - \langle mn | q p \rangle$$

$$+ \langle nm | q p \rangle - \langle nm | p q \rangle]$$

$1 \leftrightarrow 2$; the same as above

$$= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{S_p + S_q - S_m - S_n} \langle mn | p q \rangle \times 2$$

$$\therefore \langle K | \theta_2 | K' \rangle = (-1)^{S_p + S_q - S_m - S_n} \langle mn | p q \rangle \quad (35)$$

In summary, two-body matrix elements are

Case 1: $K = K'$

$$\langle K | \mathcal{O}_2 | K \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{\infty} n_k n_{k'} \langle k k' | | k k' \rangle \quad (31)$$

Case 2: $K = | \dots m \dots \rangle$

$K' = | \dots p \dots \rangle$

$$\langle K | \mathcal{O}_2 | K' \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{S_p - S_m} n_k \langle m k | | p k \rangle \quad (34)$$

Case 3: $K = | \dots m \dots n \dots \rangle$

$K' = | \dots p \dots q \dots \rangle$

$$\langle K | \mathcal{O}_2 | K' \rangle = (-1)^{S_p + S_q - S_m - S_n} \langle m n | | p q \rangle \quad (35)$$

where the two-electron integrals are

$$\langle ij | kl \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \phi_l(\mathbf{r}') \quad (32)$$

$$\langle ij || kl \rangle = \langle ij | kl \rangle - \langle ij | lk \rangle \quad (33)$$

- Substituting Eqs. (31), (34), (35) to (16), the two-body contribution to the r.h.s. of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation is

$$(\beta) = \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \sum_{k'} \eta_k \eta_{k'} \langle kk' || kk' \rangle \right] f(n_1 \dots n_\infty, t)$$

$$+ \sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \eta_k \langle mk || pk \rangle f(n'_1 \dots n'_\infty, t) \quad (\beta 1)$$

$$+ \sum_{n'_1 \dots n'_\infty} (-1)^{S_p + S_g - S_m - S_n} \langle mn || pg \rangle f(n'_1 \dots n'_\infty, t) \quad (\beta 2)$$

($\beta 1$) The $(n'_1 \dots n'_\infty)$ sum picks up all occupied m and unoccupied p .

$$\therefore (\beta 1) = \sum_k \sum_m \sum_p (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \eta_k \eta_m (1 - \eta_p) \langle mk || pk \rangle \\ \times f(\dots n_{m-1} \dots n_{p+1} \dots)$$

($\beta 2$) The $(n'_1 \dots n'_\infty)$ sum picks up all occupied states $m \neq n$ and unoccupied $p \neq g$.

$$\therefore (\beta 2) = \sum_m \sum_n \sum_p \sum_g (-1)^{S_p + S_g - S_m - S_n} \eta_m \eta_n (1 - \eta_p) (1 - \eta_g) \langle mn || pg \rangle \\ \times f(\dots n_{m-1} \dots n_{n-1} \dots n_{p+1} \dots n_{g+1} \dots)$$

Note any index collision of $m=n$ or $p=g$ will make the matrix element zero, so no need to enforce the conditions, $m \neq n$ and $p \neq g$, in the sum.

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore (\beta) = & \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \sum_{k'} n_k n_{k'} \langle k k' | k k' \rangle \right] f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) \\
& + \sum_k \sum_m \sum_p (-1)^{S_p - S_m} n_k n_m \bar{n}_p \langle m k | p k \rangle f(\dots n_{m-1} \dots n_{p+1} \dots) \\
& + \sum_m \sum_n \sum_p \sum_g (-1)^{S_p + S_g - S_m - S_n} n_m n_n \bar{n}_p \bar{n}_g \langle m n | p g \rangle \\
& \times f(\dots n_{m-1} \dots n_{n-1} \dots n_{p+1} \dots n_{g+1} \dots) \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$n_p \equiv 1 - \bar{n}_p \quad (37)$$

Substituting Eqs. (26) and (36) in (46),

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) = & \\
& \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k \langle k | k | k \rangle \right) f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) \\
& + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{S_p - S_m} n_m \bar{n}_p \langle m | k | p \rangle f(\dots n_{m-1} \dots n_{p+1} \dots) \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{\infty} n_k n_{k'} \langle k k' | k k' \rangle \right) f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{S_p - S_m} n_k n_m \bar{n}_p \langle m k | p k \rangle f(\dots n_{m-1} \dots n_{p+1} \dots) \\
& + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{S_p + S_g - S_m - S_n} n_m n_n \bar{n}_p \bar{n}_g \langle m n | p g \rangle \\
& \times f(\dots n_{m-1} \dots n_{n-1} \dots n_{p+1} \dots n_{g+1} \dots) \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

Second Quantization and Slater Determinants (II)

2/24/10

- Let us define creation $\{\hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger | \kappa=1 \dots \infty\}$ and annihilation $\{\hat{a}_\kappa | \kappa=1 \dots \infty\}$ operators, which satisfy anticommutation relations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{\hat{a}_\kappa, \hat{a}_{\kappa'}^\dagger\} = \delta_{\kappa\kappa'} \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{\hat{a}_\kappa, \hat{a}_\kappa\} = \{\hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger, \hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger\} = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

where the anticommutator is defined as

$$\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\} = \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A} \quad (3)$$

(Pauli exclusion)

For $\kappa = \kappa'$, Eq. (2) implies

$$\hat{a}_\kappa^2 = (\hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger)^2 = 0 \quad (4)$$

(Number representation)

We introduce the number operator as

$$\hat{n}_\kappa = \hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger \hat{a}_\kappa \quad (5)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{n}_\kappa^2 &= \hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger \hat{a}_\kappa \hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger \hat{a}_\kappa \\ &= \hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger (1 - \hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger \hat{a}_\kappa) \hat{a}_\kappa \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (1)}) \\ &= \hat{n}_\kappa - \underbrace{(\hat{a}_\kappa^\dagger)^2 (\hat{a}_\kappa)^2}_{=0} \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (4)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{n}_\kappa^2 = \hat{n}_\kappa \quad (6)$$

Consider the eigenvalues n_k and the corresponding eigenvectors $|n_k\rangle$ of the number operator \hat{n}_k ,

$$\hat{n}_k |n_k\rangle = n_k |n_k\rangle \quad (7)$$

From Eq. (6)

$$0 = (\hat{n}_k^2 - \hat{n}_k) |n_k\rangle = (n_k^2 - n_k) |n_k\rangle = n_k(1 - n_k) |n_k\rangle$$

$$\therefore n_k = 0 \text{ or } 1 \quad (8)$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k |1_k\rangle = |1_k\rangle & (9) \\ \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k |0_k\rangle = 0 & (10) \end{cases}$$

Note

$$\begin{cases} \hat{a}_k^+ |0_k\rangle = |1_k\rangle & (11) \\ \hat{a}_k |1_k\rangle = |0_k\rangle & (12) \\ \hat{a}_k |0_k\rangle = 0 & (13) \\ \hat{a}_k^+ |1_k\rangle = 0 & (14) \end{cases}$$

☺

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad \hat{n}_k (\hat{a}_k^+ |0_k\rangle) &= \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_k^+ |0_k\rangle \\ &= \hat{a}_k^+ (1 - \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k) |0_k\rangle \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (1)}) \\ &= \hat{a}_k^+ |0_k\rangle - \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_k^+ |0_k\rangle \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (4)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{a}_k^+ |0_k\rangle = |1_k\rangle \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (9)})$$

$$(12) \quad 0 = (1 - \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_k^+) |0_k\rangle \Rightarrow |0_k\rangle = \hat{a}_k \underbrace{(\hat{a}_k^+ |0_k\rangle)}_{|1_k\rangle} \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (11)})$$

(☺ Eqs. (1) & (10))

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{☺ Eq. (12)}) \\
 (13) \quad \hat{a}_n |0_n\rangle &= \hat{a}_n^2 |1_n\rangle = 0 \\
 &= 0 \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (4)})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{☺ Eq. (11)}) \\
 (14) \quad \hat{a}_n^\dagger |1_n\rangle &= \underbrace{(\hat{a}_n^\dagger)^2 |0_n\rangle}_{=0 \text{ (☺ Eq. (4))}} = 0 \quad //
 \end{aligned}$$

(Adjointness and orthonormality)

By defining the creation and annihilation operators adjoint, the orthonormality of the basis set can be algebraically derived.

$$\begin{cases}
 \langle 0_n | 0_n \rangle = \langle 1_n | 1_n \rangle = 1 & (15) \\
 \langle 0_n | 1_n \rangle = \langle 1_n | 0_n \rangle = 0 & (16)
 \end{cases}$$

☺

We can prove $(\hat{a}_n^\dagger)^\dagger = \hat{a}_n \Rightarrow \langle 1_n | 1_n \rangle = \langle 0_n | 0_n \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{☺ } \langle 1_n | 1_n \rangle &= \langle 0_n | (\hat{a}_n^\dagger)^\dagger \hat{a}_n^\dagger |0_n\rangle \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (11)}) \\
 &= \langle 0_n | \hat{a}_n \hat{a}_n^\dagger |0_n\rangle \quad (\text{☺ assumption}) \\
 &= \langle 0_n | 1 - \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n |0_n\rangle \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (1)}) \\
 &\quad (\text{☺ Eq. (13)}) \\
 &= \langle 0_n | 0_n \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad \langle 0_n | 1_n \rangle &= \langle 0_n | \hat{a}_n^\dagger |0_n\rangle \quad (\text{☺ Eq. (11)}) \\
 &= \langle 0_n | (\hat{a}_n^\dagger)^\dagger |0_n\rangle^* \\
 &= \langle 0_n | \underbrace{\hat{a}_n |0_n\rangle}_{=0} \rangle^* \quad (\text{☺ assumption}) \quad //
 \end{aligned}$$

- For many states, we define the direct-product state

$$|n_1 \dots n_\infty\rangle = (\hat{a}_1^+)^{n_1} \dots (\hat{a}_\infty^+)^{n_\infty} |0\rangle \quad (17)$$

where the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ is defined as

$$\hat{n}_\mu |0\rangle = \hat{a}_\mu |0\rangle = 0 \quad (\mu=1 \dots \infty) \quad (18)$$

Then

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \hat{a}_n | \dots n_n \dots \rangle &= (-1)^{S_n} n_n | \dots n_n - 1 \dots \rangle \end{aligned} \right. \quad (19)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \hat{a}_n^+ | \dots n_n \dots \rangle &= (-1)^{S_n} \bar{n}_n | \dots n_n + 1 \dots \rangle \end{aligned} \right. \quad (20)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \hat{n}_n^+ | \dots n_n \dots \rangle &= n_n | \dots n_n \dots \rangle \end{aligned} \right. \quad (21)$$

where the phase factor is

$$S_n = 1 + \dots + n_{n-1} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\bar{n}_n = 1 - n_n \quad (23)$$

☺

(19) If $n_n = 0$, \hat{a}_n can be permuted all the way to the right, where $\dots \hat{a}_n |0\rangle = 0$. Else, $n_n = 1$ and

$$\hat{a}_n (\hat{a}_1^+)^{n_1} \dots (\hat{a}_{n-1}^+)^{n_{n-1}} \hat{a}_n^+ \dots |0\rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{S_n} \dots \hat{a}_n \hat{a}_n^+ \dots |0\rangle$$

$1 - \hat{a}_n^+ \hat{a}_n \rightarrow \hat{a}_n$ permuted to the right, producing 0

$$= (-1)^{S_n} | \dots n_n - 1 \dots \rangle$$

(20) If $n_k = 1$, \hat{a}_k^+ is permuted to the k -th place to produce $(\hat{a}_k^+)^2 = 0$. Else, $n_k = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{a}_k^+ (\hat{a}_1^+)^{n_1} \dots (\hat{a}_{k-1}^+)^{n_{k-1}} (\hat{a}_{k+1}^+)^{n_{k+1}} \dots |0\rangle \\ &= (-1)^{S_k} (\hat{a}_1^+)^{n_1} \dots (\hat{a}_{k+1}^+)^{n_{k+1}} \hat{a}_k^+ (\hat{a}_{k+1}^+)^{n_{k+1}} \dots |0\rangle \\ &= (-1)^{S_k} | \dots n_{k+1} \dots \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(21) If $n_k = 0$, \hat{a}_k in \hat{n}_k can be permuted all the way next to $|0\rangle$ to produce $\dots \hat{a}_k |0\rangle = 0$. Else, note that

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{n}_k, \hat{a}_k^+] &= [\hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_k^+] \\ &= \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_k^+ - \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k \\ &\quad - \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k \\ &= \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \hat{n}_k (\hat{a}_1^+)^{n_1} \dots (\hat{a}_{k-1}^+)^{n_{k-1}} \hat{a}_k^+ \dots |0\rangle \\ &= (\hat{a}_1^+)^{n_1} \dots (\hat{a}_{k-1}^+)^{n_{k-1}} \underbrace{\hat{n}_k \hat{a}_k^+}_{\hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_k^+} \dots |0\rangle \\ &= \hat{a}_k^+ (1 - \hat{a}_k^+ \hat{a}_k) \\ &= \hat{a}_k^+ - \underbrace{(\hat{a}_k^+)^2}_{=0} \hat{a}_k \end{aligned}$$

$$= | \dots \underbrace{1}_{n_k} \dots \rangle$$

//

(6)

- We consider an abstract vector space spanned by the orthonormal set

$$\{ |n_1, \dots, n_\infty\rangle \mid n_1, \dots, n_\infty \in \{0, 1\} \} \quad (24)$$

which satisfies the following two properties.

(Orthonormality)

$$\langle n_1, \dots, n_\infty \mid n'_1, \dots, n'_\infty \rangle = \delta_{n_1, n'_1} \dots \delta_{n_\infty, n'_\infty} \quad (25)$$

(Completeness)

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_\infty=0}^1 |n_1, \dots, n_\infty\rangle \langle n_1, \dots, n_\infty| = 1 \quad (26)$$

- We introduce a vector in this vector space as

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_\infty=0}^1 f(n_1, \dots, n_\infty, t) |n_1, \dots, n_\infty\rangle \quad (27)$$

and define its time variation as governed by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle \quad (28)$$

where the second-quantized Hamiltonian operator is

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{mn} \hat{a}_m^\dagger \langle m | \mathcal{H} | n \rangle \hat{a}_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mnpq} \hat{a}_m^\dagger \hat{a}_n^\dagger \langle \overbrace{mn}^{ir} | \mathcal{U} | \overbrace{pq}^{ir} \rangle \hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p \quad (29)$$

$$\langle m | \mathcal{H} | n \rangle = \int d1r \psi_m^*(1r) \mathcal{H}(1r) \psi_n(1r) \quad (30)$$

$$\langle \overbrace{mn}^{ir} | \mathcal{U} | \overbrace{pq}^{ir} \rangle = \int d1r d1r' \psi_m^*(1r) \psi_n^*(1r') \mathcal{U}(1r, 1r') \psi_p(1r) \psi_q(1r') \quad (31)$$

= [m* p | U | n* q] (Chemist's notation)

— (Jh) The time dependence of $f(n_1, \dots, n_{\infty}, t)$ governed by Eq.(27) is identical to that followed by the expansion coefficients of the N-electron wave function in terms of Slater determinants (i.e., Eq.(38) in 2/21/10 note).

Below, we will prove that the Hamiltonian operator Eq.(29) has the same matrix element as Eqs. (24), (25), (31), (34), (35) in 2/21/10 note.

— (One-body term)

$$\langle K | H_1 | K' \rangle = \langle n_1 \dots n_\infty | \sum_{m,n} \hat{a}_m^\dagger \langle m | h | n \rangle a_n | n'_1 \dots n'_\infty \rangle \quad (32)$$

The one-body matrix element is nonzero only if K and K' differ at most for one occupation.

Case 1: $K = K'$

For each index n , $a_n |K\rangle = 0$ if it is not occupied.

Similarly, m should be occupied, otherwise $\langle K | a_m = 0$.

$$\therefore \langle K | H_1 | K \rangle = \sum_{m,n} n_m n_n \langle K | \underbrace{a_m^\dagger a_n}_{\delta_{mn} - a_n a_m^\dagger} | K \rangle \langle m | h | n \rangle$$

$$\delta_{mn} - a_n a_m^\dagger$$

$\hookrightarrow a_m^\dagger |K\rangle = 0$ since
 m is occupied

$$= \sum_n \underbrace{(n_n)^2}_{n_n} \langle n | h | n \rangle \quad (\text{Eq. (6)})$$

$$\therefore \langle K | H_1 | K \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n_n \langle n | h | n \rangle \quad (33)$$

This is identical to Eq. (24) in 2/21/10.

Case 2: $K = | \dots m \dots \rangle$

$K' = | \dots p \dots \rangle$

$$\langle K | H_i | K' \rangle = \sum_{ab} \langle K | a_a^\dagger a_b | K' \rangle \langle a | h_i | b \rangle$$

The matrix element is nonzero only if $b=p$ and $a=m$, otherwise the orthonormality makes it zero

$$\therefore \langle K | H_i | K' \rangle = \langle K | \underbrace{a_m^\dagger}_{\leftarrow} \underbrace{a_p}_{\rightarrow} | K' \rangle \langle m | h_i | p \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \langle 0 | \dots \underbrace{a_m a_m^\dagger}_{1 - \cancel{a_m^\dagger a_m}} \dots \underbrace{a_p a_p^\dagger}_{1 - \cancel{a_p^\dagger a_p}} \dots | 0 \rangle \langle m | h_i | p \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \langle 0 | \underbrace{a_N \dots \cancel{a_m} \dots a_1 a_1^\dagger \dots \cancel{a_p^\dagger} \dots a_N^\dagger}_{1} | 0 \rangle \langle m | h_i | p \rangle$$

$$\therefore \langle K | H_i | K' \rangle = (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \langle m | h_i | p \rangle \quad (34)$$

This is identical to Eq. (25) in z/21/10.

— (Two-body term)

$$\langle K | H_2 | K' \rangle = \langle n_1 \dots n_\infty | \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mnpq} a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger \langle mn | u | pq \rangle a_q a_p \quad (35)$$

The two-body matrix element is nonzero only if K and K' differ at most for 2 occupations.

Case 1: $K = K'$

$\langle K | a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger$ and $a_q a_p | K \rangle$ restrict that m, n, p, q be occupied.

$$\therefore \langle K | H_2 | K \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mnpq} n_m n_n n_p n_q \langle mn | pq \rangle$$

↑ we adopt two-electron integral notation in 2/21/10

$$\times \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger a_q a_p | K \rangle$$

$$a_m^\dagger (\delta_{nq} - a_q a_n^\dagger) a_p$$

$$= \delta_{nq} a_m^\dagger a_p - a_m^\dagger a_q a_n^\dagger a_p$$

$$= \delta_{nq} (\delta_{mp} - a_p a_m^\dagger) - (\delta_{mq} - a_q a_m^\dagger) a_n^\dagger a_p$$

(⊙ $a_m^\dagger | K \rangle = 0$) (⊙ $\langle K | a_q = 0$)

$$= \delta_{nq} \delta_{mp} - \delta_{mq} (\delta_{np} - a_p a_n^\dagger)$$

(⊙ $\langle K | a_p = 0$)

$$= \delta_{mp} \delta_{nq} - \delta_{mq} \delta_{np}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mn} \underbrace{n_m^2 n_n^2}_{= n_m n_n \text{ (⊙ Eq. (6))}} \left[\underbrace{\langle mn | mn \rangle - \langle mn | nm \rangle}_{= \langle mn || mn \rangle} \right]$$

$$\therefore \langle K | H_2 | K \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mn} n_m n_n \langle mn || mn \rangle \quad (36)$$

This is identical to Eq. (31) in 2/21/10.

Case 2: $K = | \dots m \dots \rangle$

$K' = | \dots p \dots \rangle$

$$\langle K | H_2 | K' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{abrs} \langle K | a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger a_s a_r | K' \rangle \langle ab | rs \rangle$$

Let n be one of the occupied states, then (r,s) should be (p,k) or (k,p) , and (a,b) should be (m,k) or (k,m) .

$$\langle K | H_2 | K' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k n_k \left[\begin{aligned} &\langle K | a_m^\dagger a_k^\dagger a_k a_p | K' \rangle \langle mk | kp \rangle \quad \textcircled{1} \\ &+ \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_k^\dagger a_p a_k | K' \rangle \langle mk | kp \rangle \quad \textcircled{2} \\ &+ \langle K | a_k^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_p a_k | K' \rangle \langle km | kp \rangle \quad \textcircled{3} \\ &+ \langle K | a_k^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_k a_p | K' \rangle \langle km | kp \rangle \quad \textcircled{4} \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} &= \langle K | a_m^\dagger (1 - a_k^\dagger a_k) a_p | K' \rangle \quad (\because a_k | K' \rangle = 0) \\ &= \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_p | K' \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \langle 0 | \dots \underbrace{a_m^\dagger a_m}_{1 - a_m^\dagger a_m} \dots | \dots \underbrace{a_p a_p}_{1 - a_p^\dagger a_p} \dots | 0 \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} &= - \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_p a_k^\dagger a_k | K' \rangle \\ &= - \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_p (1 - a_k^\dagger a_k) | K' \rangle \\ &= - \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_p | K' \rangle \\ &= - (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \textcircled{3} &= \langle K | a_k^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_p a_n | K' \rangle \\
 &= \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_p a_k^\dagger a_n | K' \rangle \\
 &= \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_p (1 - a_n a_n^\dagger) | K' \rangle \\
 &= (-1)^{S_p - S_m}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \textcircled{4} &= \langle K | a_k^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_n a_p | K' \rangle \\
 &= - \langle K | a_m^\dagger (1 - a_n a_n^\dagger) a_p | K' \rangle \\
 &= - (-1)^{S_p - S_m}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \langle K | H_2 | K' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k n_k (-1)^{S_p - S_m} [\langle m_k | p_k \rangle - \langle m_k | k_p \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \langle k_m | k_p \rangle - \langle k_m | p_k \rangle] \\
 &\quad \begin{array}{cc} \leftarrow \leftarrow & \leftarrow \leftarrow \\ \text{(\odot dummy } i_1, i_2 \text{)} \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k n_k (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \times 2 \langle m_k | p_k \rangle$$

$$\therefore \langle K | H_2 | K' \rangle = \sum_k n_k (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \langle m_k | p_k \rangle \quad (37)$$

$$\underbrace{\langle m_k | p_k \rangle - \langle m_k | k_p \rangle}$$

$$= \langle m_k | p_k \rangle - \langle m_k | k_p \rangle$$

This is identical to Eq. (34) in 2/21/10.

Case 3: $K = | \dots m \dots n \dots \rangle$

$K' = | \dots p \dots q \dots \rangle$

$$\langle K | H_2 | K' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{abrs} \langle K | a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger a_s a_r | K' \rangle \langle ab | rs \rangle$$

(a, b) should be (m, n) or (n, m) and (r, s) should be (p, q) or (q, p)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K | H_2 | K' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} & \left[\langle K | a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger a_q a_p | K \rangle \langle mn | pq \rangle \right. \\ & + \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger a_p a_q | K \rangle \langle mn | qp \rangle \\ & + \langle K | a_n^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_p a_q | K' \rangle \langle nm | qp \rangle \\ & \left. + \langle K | a_n^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_q a_p | K' \rangle \langle nm | pq \rangle \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} = \frac{1}{2} & \left[\langle K | a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger a_q a_p | K \rangle \langle mn | pq \rangle \right. \\ & \left. + \langle K | a_n^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_p a_q | K \rangle \langle nm | qp \rangle \right] \end{aligned}$$

dummy $r_1 \leftrightarrow r_2$

$$= \langle K | a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger a_q a_p | K' \rangle \langle mn | pq \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{S_p - S_m} \langle K | a_n^\dagger a_m^\dagger a_q a_p | K' \rangle \langle mn | pq \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{S_p + S_q - S_m - S_n} \langle mn | pq \rangle$$

$$\therefore \langle K | H_2 | K' \rangle = (-1)^{S_p + S_q - S_m - S_n} \langle mn | pq \rangle \quad (38)$$

This is identical to Eq. (35) in 2/21/10. //