

Lanczos Tridiagonalization

5/26/03

Let \hat{H} be Hermitian ($\langle m | \hat{H} | n \rangle = \langle n | \hat{H}^\dagger | m \rangle = \langle n | \hat{H} | m \rangle$) and $|0\rangle$ a normalized ($\langle 0 | 0 \rangle = 1$) vector.

Then the Lanczos recursion is defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} b_1 |1\rangle = \underbrace{(\hat{H} - a_0) |0\rangle}_{\text{residual}} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{cases} b_{n+1} |n+1\rangle = \underbrace{(\hat{H} - a_n) |n\rangle}_{\text{residual}} - \underbrace{b_n |n-1\rangle}_{\text{tridiagonalizing constrained force}} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots, N-1) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where

$$a_n \equiv \langle n | \hat{H} | n \rangle \quad (n=0, 1, \dots, N) \quad (3)$$

is the diagonal Hamiltonian element, and

$$b_n \equiv \langle n-1 | \hat{H} | n \rangle \quad (n=1, 2, \dots, N) \quad (4)$$

is determined to normalized $|n\rangle$ each time a new $|n\rangle$ is obtained by Eq.(2). The arbitrary phase is determined such that b_n is real.

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{matrix} & 0 & 1 & 2 & & n-1 & n \\ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ & & & & & & \\ N-1 \\ N \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & b_1 & & & & & \\ b_1 & a_1 & b_2 & & & & \\ & b_2 & a_2 & b_3 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & b_{n-1} & a_{n-1} & b_n \\ & & & & & & b_n & a_n \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix} \end{array}$$

(TR)

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \langle m | n \rangle = \delta_{mn} \quad (\text{orthonormality}) \quad (5)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \langle n - \delta | \hat{H} | n \rangle = 0 \quad (\delta \geq 2) \quad (\text{tridiagonality}) \quad (6)$$

☺ (Proof by mathematical induction)

$$\left\{ \langle i | j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for } \forall i, j \leq n \right. \quad (7)$$

$$\left\{ \langle i - \delta | \hat{H} | i \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall i \leq n, \forall \delta \geq 2 \right. \quad (8)$$

(Basis step: $n=2$)By assumption $\langle 0 | 0 \rangle = 1$ $\langle 0 | \times (1)$:

$$b_1 \langle 0 | 1 \rangle = \underbrace{\langle 0 | \hat{H} | 0 \rangle}_{\equiv a_0} - a_0 \underbrace{\langle 0 | 0 \rangle}_1 = 0$$

$$\therefore \langle 0 | 1 \rangle = 0$$

 $\langle 1 | \times (1)$:

$$b_1 \langle 1 | 1 \rangle = \underbrace{\langle 1 | \hat{H} | 0 \rangle}_{\equiv b_1^* = b_1} - a_0 \underbrace{\langle 1 | 0 \rangle}_0$$

$$\therefore \langle 1 | 1 \rangle = 1$$

Let $n=2$ in Eq. (2):

$$b_2 | 2 \rangle = (\hat{H} - a_1) | 1 \rangle - b_1 | 0 \rangle \quad (9)$$

 $\langle 0 | \times (9)$:

$$b_2 \langle 0 | 2 \rangle = \underbrace{\langle 0 | \hat{H} | 1 \rangle}_{\equiv b_1} - a_1 \underbrace{\langle 0 | 1 \rangle}_0 - b_1 \underbrace{\langle 0 | 0 \rangle}_1 = 0$$

$$\therefore \langle 0 | 2 \rangle = 0$$

 $\langle 1 | \times (9)$:

$$b_2 \langle 1 | 2 \rangle = \underbrace{\langle 1 | \hat{H} | 1 \rangle}_{\equiv a_1} - a_1 \underbrace{\langle 1 | 1 \rangle}_1 - b_1 \underbrace{\langle 1 | 0 \rangle}_0 = 0$$

$$\therefore \langle 1 | 2 \rangle = 0$$

 $\langle 2 | \times (9)$:

$$b_2 \langle 2 | 2 \rangle = \underbrace{\langle 2 | \hat{H} | 1 \rangle}_{b_2} - a_1 \underbrace{\langle 2 | 1 \rangle}_0 - b_1 \underbrace{\langle 2 | 0 \rangle}_0$$

$$\therefore \langle 2 | 2 \rangle = 1$$

$\langle 2 | \times (1) :$

$$b_1 \langle 2 | 1 \rangle = \langle 2 | \hat{H} | 0 \rangle - a_0 \langle 2 | 0 \rangle$$

$$\therefore \langle 0 | \hat{H} | 2 \rangle = 0$$

(Inductive step)

Assume the inductive hypothesis (7) & (8) for n .

Now consider $n+1$.

$\langle n-1 | \times (2)$

$$b_{n+1} \langle n-1 | n+1 \rangle = \langle n-1 | \hat{H} | n \rangle - a_n \langle n-1 | n \rangle - b_n \langle n-1 | n-1 \rangle = 0$$

$$\therefore \langle n-1 | n+1 \rangle = 0$$

$\langle n | \times (2)$

$$b_{n+1} \langle n | n+1 \rangle = \langle n | \hat{H} | n \rangle - a_n \langle n | n \rangle - b_n \langle n | n-1 \rangle = 0$$

$$\therefore \langle n | n+1 \rangle = 0$$

$\langle n+1 | \times (2)$

$$b_{n+1} \langle n+1 | n+1 \rangle = \langle n+1 | \hat{H} | n \rangle - a_n \langle n+1 | n \rangle - b_n \langle n+1 | n-1 \rangle$$

$$\therefore \langle n+1 | n+1 \rangle = 1$$

$\langle n-\delta | \times (2) \quad (\delta \geq 2)$

$$b_{n+1} \langle n-\delta | n+1 \rangle = \langle n-\delta | \hat{H} | n \rangle - a_n \langle n-\delta | n \rangle - b_n \langle n-\delta | n-1 \rangle = 0$$

$$\therefore \langle n-\delta | n+1 \rangle = 0$$

\emptyset by the inductive hypothesis (8) \emptyset by the inductive hypothesis (7)

Let $n = n+1-\delta \quad (\delta \geq 2)$ in Eq. (2):

$$b_{n+2-\delta} \langle n+2-\delta | n+2-\delta \rangle = (\hat{H} - a_{n+1-\delta}) | n+1-\delta \rangle - b_{n+1-\delta} | n-\delta \rangle \quad (10)$$

$\langle n+1 | \times (10)$

$$b_{n+2-\delta} \langle n+1 | n+2-\delta \rangle = \langle n+1 | \hat{H} | n+1-\delta \rangle - a_{n+1-\delta} \langle n+1 | n+1-\delta \rangle - b_{n+1-\delta} \langle n+1 | n-\delta \rangle$$

$$\therefore \langle n+1-\delta | \hat{H} | n+1 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall \delta \geq 2$$

Thus the inductive hypotheses, (7) & (8), are T for $n+1$.

\therefore The propositions, (7) & (8), are T for $\forall n$. //

(Lanczos algorithm)

Given $|0\rangle$ ($\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$)

$b_0 = 0$, $| -1\rangle = 0$ // Non-existence of constrained force @ $j=0$

for $j = 0$ to $N-1$

$$a_j \leftarrow \langle j | \hat{H} | j \rangle$$

$$r \leftarrow (\hat{H} - a_j) | j \rangle - b_j | j-1 \rangle$$

$$b_{j+1} \leftarrow \|r\|$$

$$| j+1 \rangle \leftarrow r / b_{j+1}$$

endfor

$$a_N \leftarrow \langle N | \hat{H} | N \rangle$$