

Extended Field Solver

6/25/20

- Goal: Extend the scope of auxiliary-field Poisson solver [Car & Parrinello, SSC 62, 403 ('87)] to include wave equations for vector potential, in the framework of Maxwell-TDDFT (time-dependent density functional theory) approach [Yabana, PRB 85, 045134 ('12)] in the Lorenz gauge [Gabay, PRB 101, 235101 ('20)].

- Maxwell equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (1) \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} \quad (2) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho \quad (3) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (4) \end{array} \right.$$

where \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{B} are electric & magnetic fields, while charge (ρ) & current (\mathbf{J}) densities satisfies continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0 \quad (5)$$

- Vector & scalar potentials

Since the magnetic field is divergence-free (Eq.(4)), it can be represented as the curl of a vector field.

Thus, we define vector potential A through

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad (6)$$

Substituting Eq.(6) in (1), we obtain

$$\nabla \times \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) = 0 \quad (7)$$

Since $\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$ is curl-free, it can be represented as the gradient of a scalar field. Thus, we define scalar potential ϕ through

$$\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \phi \quad (8)$$

or

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \phi \quad (9)$$

- Wave equations for potentials

We have used the source-free equations, (1) & (4), to define vector & scalar potentials. We now use the rest, (2) & (3), to derive partial differential equations for vector & scalar potentials.

Substituting Eqs. (6) & (9) to (2),

$$\underbrace{\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A}}_{-\nabla^2 + \nabla \nabla \cdot} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \phi \right] = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}$$

$$-\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} + \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c} \nabla \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}$$

$$\therefore \left[\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + \nabla \nabla \cdot \right] \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c} \nabla \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} \quad (10)$$

Substituting Eq. (9) in (3),

$$\nabla \cdot \left[-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \phi \right] = 4\pi \rho$$

$$-\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 4\pi \rho \quad (11)$$

- Lorenz gauge

Equations (10) & (11) constitute four equations to determine four unknown quantities (A_x, A_y, A_z, ϕ) from four known quantities (J_x, J_y, J_z, ρ) . However, \mathbf{J} & ρ are not independent but are related by continuity equation (5). Accordingly, we need to introduce one more condition (i.e., gauge condition) to uniquely determine \mathbf{A} & ϕ .

We here adopt the Lorenz gauge

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \quad (12)$$

Taking gradient of Eq. (12),

$$\frac{1}{c} \nabla \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \quad (13)$$

Using Eq. (13) to eliminate ϕ from Eq. (10), we obtain

$$\left[\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + \cancel{\nabla \nabla} \right] \mathbf{A} - \cancel{\nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}$$

(5)

$$\therefore \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) A = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathcal{J} \quad (14)$$

Also, using Eq. (12) to eliminate A in (11), we obtain

$$-\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi \right) = 4\pi \rho$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) \phi = 4\pi \rho \quad (15)$$

In summary, both vector & scalar potentials follow wave equations in Lorenz gauge.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) A = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathcal{J} \end{array} \right. \quad (16)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) \phi = 4\pi \rho \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

(6)

- Single-electron Hamiltonian

(Classical Hamiltonian)

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\mathbf{p} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right)^2 - e\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (18)$$

where m & e are mass & (absolute) charge of electron, and \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{p} are its position & momentum.

(Hamiltonian operator)

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2}{2m} + \frac{e}{2mc} [\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}] + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \mathbf{A}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) - e\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (19)$$

- Current operator

In second-quantization,

$$\hat{H}(t) = \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3r \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \frac{e}{2mc} \left[\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}(r,t) + \mathbf{A}(r,t) \cdot \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \right] + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \mathbf{A}(r,t)^2 - e\phi(r,t) \right\} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) \quad (20)$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3r \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) + \frac{e}{2mc} \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3r \mathbf{A}(r,t) \left\{ \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) - \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(r,t) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) \right\}$$

$$- e \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3r \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \phi(r,t) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) \quad (21)$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3r \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) - \frac{1}{c} \left(\sum_{\sigma} \int d^3r \mathbf{A}(r,t) \times \left(-\frac{e}{2m} \right) \right) \left\{ \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) - \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(r,t) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) \right\}$$

$$- e \int d^3r \phi(r,t) \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)$$

In summary,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}(t) = & \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3r \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \\ & - \frac{1}{c} \int d^3r A(\mathbf{r}, t) \left[\hat{\mathbf{j}}_p(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{j}}_d(\mathbf{r}) \right] \\ & + \int d^3r \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Here, the current operator $\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}) = & -\frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \left[\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \\ & + \frac{e^2}{mc} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$= \hat{\mathbf{j}}_p(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_d(\mathbf{r}) \quad (23)$$

and the charge density operator $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r})$ is

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = -e \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (24)$$

In Eq. (23), $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_p$ & $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_d$ are paramagnetic & diamagnetic current operators, respectively.

- Continuity equation

In Heisenberg picture, equation of motion of charge density operator is

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(\mathbf{r}, t) = [\hat{\rho}_H(\mathbf{r}), \hat{H}] \quad (25)$$

Note the Hamiltonian terms involving diamagnetic current & scalar potential are proportional to the density operator, thus their commutator with $\hat{\rho}$ vanishes. The remaining terms containing kinetic operator & paramagnetic current give rise to:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(\mathbf{r}) = & -e \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{x} [\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}), \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2\right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})] \\ & + \frac{e}{c} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{x} A(\mathbf{x}) [\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}), \hat{j}_p(\mathbf{x})] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In the first term in rhs, note

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\hat{\Psi}_0^\dagger(r) \hat{\Psi}_0(r), \hat{\Psi}_0^\dagger(x) \left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2m}\right) \hat{\Psi}_0(x)] \\
 &= \underbrace{r^\dagger r}_{\delta(x-r)} x^\dagger \left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right) x - x^\dagger \left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right) x \underbrace{r^\dagger r}_{\delta(x-r) - r^\dagger x} \\
 &= \delta(x-r) r^\dagger \left(-\frac{\nabla_r^2}{2}\right) r - \cancel{r^\dagger x r \left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right) x} \\
 &\quad - \cancel{x^\dagger \left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right) \delta(x-r) r} + \cancel{x^\dagger r^\dagger \left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right) x r} \sim \mathcal{O}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, note the integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int dx x^\dagger \overset{\uparrow}{\nabla_x^2} \delta(x-r) r \\
 &= - \int dx \underset{\downarrow}{\nabla_x} x^\dagger \cdot \overset{\uparrow}{\nabla_x} \delta(x-r) r \\
 &= + \int dx (\nabla_x^2 x^\dagger) \delta(x-r) r \\
 &= (\nabla_r^2 r^\dagger) r
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{O} \sim \delta(x-r) r^\dagger \left(-\frac{\nabla_r^2}{2}\right) r - \delta(x-r) \left(-\frac{\nabla_r^2}{2} r^\dagger\right) r$$

Namely, the first term of Eq.(26) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -e \sum_{\sigma} \left[\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(ir) - \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(ir) \right] \\
 &= \frac{e\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \left[\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \nabla^2 \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(ir) - (\nabla^2 \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir)) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(ir) \right] \\
 & \quad \underbrace{\nabla \cdot (r^{\dagger} \nabla r) - \nabla r^{\dagger} \cdot \nabla r - \{ \nabla \cdot [(\nabla r^{\dagger}) r] - \nabla r^{\dagger} \cdot \nabla r \}}_{\text{cancel out}} \\
 &= \frac{e\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \nabla \cdot \left[\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(ir) - (\nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir)) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(ir) \right] \\
 & \hspace{15em} - \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the second term of Eq.(26) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{e^2}{2mc} \sum_{\sigma} \int dx A(x) \left[\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(ir), \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ix) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla_x \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(ix) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla_x \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ix) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(ix) \right] \\
 & \hspace{15em} - \gamma
 \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [r^{\dagger} r, x^{\dagger} \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla_x x] - [r^{\dagger} r, (\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla_x x^{\dagger}) x] \\
 &= \underbrace{r^{\dagger} r}_{\delta(x-r)} x^{\dagger} \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla_x x - x^{\dagger} \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla_x x \underbrace{r^{\dagger} r}_{\delta(x-r)} - \underbrace{r^{\dagger} r}_{\delta(x-r)} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla_x x^{\dagger} \right) x + \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla_x x^{\dagger} \right) x \underbrace{r^{\dagger} r}_{\delta(x-r)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \gamma &= -\frac{e^2 \hbar}{2mc\dot{v}} \sum_{\sigma} \left\{ \overbrace{A(r) \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \nabla \psi_{\sigma}(r) + \nabla [A(r) \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r)] \psi_{\sigma}(r)}^{\nabla \cdot (A \psi^{\dagger} \psi)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \nabla [A(r) \psi_{\sigma}(r)] + A(r) (\nabla \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r)) \psi_{\sigma}(r) \right\} \\
 &= -\frac{e^2 \hbar}{mci} \sum_{\sigma} \nabla \cdot [A(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)] \quad \sim \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

Using expressions β & δ in Eq. (26),

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(r) &= \nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{e\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} [\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) - (\nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r)) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{e^2 \hbar}{mci} \sum_{\sigma} [A(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(r) &= \nabla \cdot \left\{ \overbrace{\frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} [\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) - (\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r)) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)]}^{-\hat{j}_P(r)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{e^2}{mc} A(r) \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) \right\} \\
 &= -\frac{e}{mc} A(r) \hat{\rho}(r) = -\hat{j}_D(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

Namely,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(r, t) + \nabla \cdot [\hat{j}_P(r) + \hat{j}_D(r)] = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{\hat{j}(r)}$$

In summary

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(\mathbf{r}, t) + \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}_H(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{r}) &= -e \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \end{aligned} \right. \quad (24)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \left[\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{e}{mc} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{aligned} \right. \quad (22)$$

$$= \hat{\mathbf{j}}_P(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_D(\mathbf{r}) \quad (23)$$

- Multiscale field solvers

We consider a spatially-uniform laser pulse & embedding electric field as an external vector potential [6/18/20]

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_{\text{ext}}(t) &= -\frac{1}{c} A_{\text{ext}}(t) \\ &= \frac{D_{\text{ext}}}{\omega_{\text{ext}}} \sin(\omega_{\text{ext}} t - \varphi_{\text{ext}}) \times \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{T_{\text{oext}}}\right) \Theta(0 < t < T_0) \\ &\quad + D_{\text{emb}} t\end{aligned}\quad (28)$$

The total vector potential $A(t)$ is a sum of external & induced terms:

$$A(t) = A_{\text{ext}}(t) + A_{\text{ind}}(t) \quad (29)$$

Following the multiscale Maxwell-TDDFT approach, we take $A_{\text{ind}}(t)$ to be a smoothly varying function in space. We consider it to be constant, at least within a divide-&-conquer (DC) domain.

Therefore, Eq. (16) becomes

$$\left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \cancel{\nabla^2} \right) A_{\text{ind}} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbb{J}_{\text{avg}} \quad (30)$$

Here, \mathbb{J}_{avg} is spatially-averaged current,

$$\mathbb{J}_{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{r} \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (31)$$

and the current density in the Kohn-Sham (KS) scheme is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}) = & -\frac{e}{2m} \sum_{n\sigma} \left[\psi_{n\sigma}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi_{n\sigma}^*(\mathbf{r}) \right) \psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right] f_{n\sigma} \\ & + \frac{e}{mc} \sum_{n\sigma} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \left\{ -e \sum_{n\sigma} |\psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r})|^2 f_{n\sigma} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}) = & -\frac{e}{m} \sum_{n\sigma} \text{Re} \left[\psi_{n\sigma}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right] f_{n\sigma} \\ & - \frac{e^2}{mc} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \underbrace{\sum_{n\sigma} |\psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r})|^2 f_{n\sigma}}_{\text{electron number density } n(\mathbf{r})} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $f_{n\sigma}$ is the occupation number.

We introduce

$$\tilde{A}_{\text{ind}}(t) = -\frac{1}{c} A_{\text{ind}}(t) \quad (33)$$

and its field equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \tilde{A}_{\text{ind}} = -\frac{4\pi}{c^2} \mathcal{J}_{\text{avg}} \quad (34)$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} d^3r \mathbf{j}(r) \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}(r) = & -\frac{e}{m} \sum_{n_0} \text{Re} \left[\psi_{n_0}^*(r) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi_{n_0}(r) \right] f_{n_0} \\ & + \frac{e^2}{m} \tilde{A}(r, t) n(r) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$n(r) = \sum_{n_0} |\psi_{n_0}(r)|^2 f_{n_0} \quad (36)$$

For induced scalar potential, we instead consider Hartree potential

$$V_H(\mathbf{r}, t) = -e\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (37)$$

and it follows, instead of Eq. (17),

$$\left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) V_H = -4\pi e\rho = 4\pi e^2 n$$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) V_H(\mathbf{r}, t) = 4\pi e^2 n(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (38)$$

※ In Eqs. (35) & (38), electron number density in LFD is interpreted as the deviation of density from time $t=0$. [6/13/20].