# Linear-Scaling Quantum Molecular Dynamics

#### **Aiichiro Nakano**

Collaboratory for Advanced Computing & Simulations Department of Computer Science Department of Physics & Astronomy Department of Quantitative & Computational Biology University of Southern California Email: anakano@usc.edu





# **Quantum Molecular Dynamics (QMD)**

$$M_{I} \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} \mathbf{R}_{I} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} E[\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\}, \psi(\mathbf{r}_{1} \dots, \mathbf{r}_{N})] \ (I = 1, \dots, N_{\text{atom}})$$

#### First molecular dynamics using an empirical interatomic interaction

A. Rahman, Phys. Rev. 136, A405 ('64)





$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1 \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin} E[\{\mathbf{R}_I\}, \psi(\mathbf{r}_1 \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)]$$

#### **Density functional theory (DFT)**

Hohenberg & Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, B864 ('64) W. Kohn, Nobel chemistry prize, '98

 $O(C^N) \rightarrow$ intractable

 $O(N^3)$ 1 *N*-electron problem *N* 1-electron problems tractable

#### $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1 \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \qquad \{\psi_i(\mathbf{r}) | i = 1, \dots, N\}$

#### **O(N)** DFT algorithms

- **Divide-&-conquer DFT** [W. Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1438 ('91); F. Shimojo et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 167, 151 ('05); Phys Rev. B 77, 085103 ('08); Appl. Phys. Lett. 95, 043114 ('09); J. Chem. Phys. 140, 18A529 ('14)]
- Quantum nearsightedness principle [W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3168 ('96); E. Prodan & W. Kohn, P. Nat. Acad. Sci. 102, 11635 ('05)]
- A recent review [Bowler & Miyazaki, Rep. Prog. Phys. 75, 036503 ('12)] ٠

### **Divide-&-Conquer Density Functional Theory**



# **Optimization of Divide-&-Conquer DFT**

• Computational parameters of DC-DFT = domain size (*l*) + buffer thickness (*b*)



• Complexity analysis to optimize the domain size *l* 

$$l_* = \operatorname{argmin}(T_{\operatorname{comp}}(l)) = \operatorname{argmin}\left(\left(\frac{L}{l}\right)^3 (l+2b)^{3\nu}\right) = \frac{2b}{\nu-1}$$

Per-domain computational complexity of DFT =  $O(n^{\nu})$ :  $\nu = 2$  or 3 ( $n < or > 10^3$ )

• Error analysis: Buffer thickness *b* is dictated by the accuracy requirement  $b = \lambda \ln \left( \max \{ |\Delta \rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})| | \mathbf{r} \in \partial \Omega_{\alpha} \} \right) / \varepsilon \langle \rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \quad |\Delta \rho| e^{-b/\lambda} = \varepsilon \langle \rho \rangle$ 

Decay length  $\rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_{\text{global}}(\mathbf{r})$  Error tolerance *cf.* quantum nearsightedness [Kohn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3168 ('96); Prodan & Kohn, *P. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **102**, 11635 ('05)]

## Lean Divide-&-Conquer (LDC) DFT

• Density-adaptive boundary potential to reduce the O(N) prefactor local approximation  $v_{\alpha}^{bc}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\partial v(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \rho(\mathbf{r}')} \left( \rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') - \rho_{global}(\mathbf{r}') \right) \cong \frac{\rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_{global}(\mathbf{r})}{\xi}$ • More rapid energy convergence of LDC-DFT compared with nonadaptive DC-DFT



• Factor 2.03 (for v = 2) ~ 2.89 (for v = 3) reduction of the computational cost with an error tolerance of 5×10<sup>-3</sup> a.u. (per-domain complexity:  $n^{v}$ )

F. Shimojo *et al.*, *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 18A529 ('14); *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 085103 ('08); *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **167**, 151 ('05)

## **Hierarchical Computing**



cf. globally- sparse-yet-locally-dense eigensolver [J. H. Lam et al., Nature Commun. 15, 3479 ('24)]

• Hierarchical band (*i.e.*, Kohn-Sham orbital) + space + domain (BSD) decomposition



Divide-&-conquer domains MD-nodes in QXMD software

### H<sub>2</sub> Production from Water Using LiAl Particles

16,661-atom QMD simulation of Li<sub>441</sub>Al<sub>441</sub> in water on 786,432 IBM Blue Gene/Q cores

K. Shimamura *et al., Nano Lett*. **14**, 4090 ('14)



21,140 time steps (129,208 self-consistent-field iterations)

#### **Rapid & Scalable H<sub>2</sub> Production**



• Reaction rate does not decrease for larger particles → industrial scalability

cf. discontinuous Galerkin DFT [Lin et al., J. Comput. Phys. 231, 2140 ('12)]

See notes on (1) origin of DCDFT, (2) parallel DCDFT, (3) DCDFT data structures, (4) DCDFT algorithm, (5) DC forces, & (6) lean DCDFT

### **Fermi Operator**

• Fermi operator

$$F(\hat{H}) = \frac{2}{\exp\left(\frac{\hat{H} - \mu}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) + 1}$$

• Projection to the occupied subspace

$$|\psi_{\rm proj}\rangle = F(\hat{H})|\psi\rangle$$

• The expectation value of any operator A is obtained by

$$\left\langle \hat{A} \right\rangle = \mathrm{tr} \left[ \hat{A} \hat{F} \right]$$

• Widely used in *O*(*N*) electronic structure calculations (*N* = number of electrons) through its sparse representation

S. Goedecker, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 1085 ('99); K. Tsuruta *et al.*, *Phil. Mag. Lett.* **81**, 357 ('01)

#### **Fermi-Operator Approximations**



#### **Rational Fermi-Operator Expansion**



D. M. C. Nicholson *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* 50, 14686 ('94);
A. P. Horsfield *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* 53, 12694 ('96);
L. Lin *et al.*, *J. Phys. Condes. Matter* 25, 1295501 ('13)

## **O(N)** Fermi Operator Expansion

• Truncated expansion of Fermi-operator by Chebyshev polynomial  $\{T_p\}$ 

$$F(\hat{H}) \cong \sum_{p=0}^{P} c_p T_p(\hat{H})$$

• O(N) algorithm

prepare a basis set of size O(N)(let the size be N for simplicity)

for l = 1, N

 $let an N-dimensional unit vector be |e_l\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} l$ recursively construct the *l*<sup>th</sup> column of

recursively construct the *l*<sup>th</sup> column of matrix  $T_{p}$ ,  $|t_l^p\rangle$ , keeping only O(1)

off-diagonal elements (cf. quantum nearsightedness)

$$\begin{cases} \left| t_{l}^{0} \right\rangle = \left| e_{l} \right\rangle \\ \left| t_{l}^{1} \right\rangle = \hat{H} \left| e_{l} \right\rangle \\ \left| t_{l}^{p+1} \right\rangle = 2\hat{H} \left| t_{l}^{p} \right\rangle - \left| t_{l}^{p-1} \right\rangle \\ \text{build a sparse representation of the } l^{\text{th}} \text{ column of } F \text{ as} \\ \left| f_{l} \right\rangle \approx \sum_{p=0}^{P} c_{p} \left| t_{l}^{p} \right\rangle \\ \text{See note on Fermi-operator expansion} \end{cases}$$

## **Local Orbital Minimization**



Unconstrained minimization:

$$\tilde{E}\left[\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}\right] = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{\text{wf}}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\text{wf}}} \int d^{3}r \phi_{m}^{*}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) (H - \eta I) \phi_{n}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \left(2\delta_{nm} - \int d^{3}r \phi_{n}^{*}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \phi_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\right) + \eta N_{\text{el}}$$

cf.  $O(N^3)$  QR or Cholesky decomposition for orthogonalization

See notes on (1) nonorthogonal orbitals & (2) local orbital minimization

Kim, Mauri & Galli, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 1640 ('95); Ordejon *et al.*, *ibid.* **51**, 1456 ('95) Shimojo *et al.*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **140**, 303 ('01)

### **Analysis of Parallel DFT Algorithms**



Shimojo et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 140, 303 ('01)

# Zoo of O(N) DFT Algorithms (Small Subset)

- Density-matrix minimization (DMM) [Li et al., Phys Rev. B 47, 10891 ('93); Nunes & Vanderbilt, *ibid.* 50, 17611 ('94); Hernandez et al., *ibid.* 53, 7147 ('96)]
   See notes on (1) <u>idempotency</u>, (2) <u>orthogonal DMM</u>, (3) <u>nonorthogonal DMM</u> & (4) <u>real-space DMM</u>
- Filter diagonalization [Wall & Neuhauser, J. Chem. Phys. 102, 8011 ('95)]
   See note on filter diagonalization cf. Koshiba's "egg of dream": 小柴昌俊–夢の卵を孵す
- Green's function (GF) approaches [Horsfield *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* 53, 12694 ('96); <u>Hoshi *et al.*, *Proc. SCALA16* ('16)]</u>
   See (1) <u>slide</u> & notes <u>1</u> & <u>2</u> on Lanczos tridiagonalization, and (2) note on <u>Pade *via* Lanczos</u> *cf.* <u>Bethe lattice</u> & Laughlin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* 20, 5228 ('79)
- Block tridiagonal divide-&-conquer (DC) [Gansterer et al., ACM T. Math. Software 28, 45 ('02)]
   See note on block tridiagonal DC
- Embedded-cluster boundary condition (BC): Reduce *O(N)* prefactor of DCDFT?

See notes on (1) embedded-cluster BC, (2) orbital BC, (3) multiple scattering & (4) surface <u>GF</u>

*cf.* Dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [Georges *et al.*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 68, 13 ('96); Kotliar *et al.*, *ibid.* 78, 865 ('06)]

### **Stochastic DFT**

Project onto a vector space spanned by a set of *I* stochastic wave functions κ(r)

 $\hat{I} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{I} |\kappa\rangle \langle \kappa|$ 

• Electron density is obtained by projecting onto the occupied subspace spanned by

 $|\xi\rangle = \theta \big(\mu - \widehat{H}\big)|\kappa\rangle$ 

where the step function  $\theta$  is approximated by Chebyshev polynomials with  $\mu$  &  $\hat{H}$  being the chemical potential & Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian

• With sparse representation of  $\hat{H}$ , the algorithmic complexity can be sublinear, since the order of Chebyshev expansion to achieve a prescribed accuracy decreases as a function of the number of electrons (self-averaging)

Baer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 106402 ('13); Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 73, 255 ('22)

• Sublinear stochastic algorithm also applies to TDDFT

Vlcek et al., J. Chem. Phys. 150, 184118 ('19)

• Key idea: Projection onto randomized vector space

cf. randomized linear algebra [Murray et al., arXiv: 2302.11474 ('23)]

# **UltraQ DFT: Recombine in Reciprocal Space**

• Additional sum over a finer grid around each k-point in the reciprocal space describes physics at ultra-long length scales (generalized Block theorem)



• Generalized Block theorem constrains the Hamiltonian invariant under slow spatial modulation (*e.g.*, spiral magnet & bended 2D sheet) *via* Block-like k-point sampling

Prayitno et al., JPSJ 87, 114709 ('18); Shi et al., PCCP 22, 11567 ('20)



Wannier interpolation: Sparse k-point sampling → construct smooth Wannier functions in real space (*cf.* tight-binding Hamiltonian) → transform back to dense reciprocal space [Wannier-Berri code: Tsirkin, *npjCM* 7, 33 ('21)]

#### **Related Topics: Research = Directed Random Walk**

- Fragment molecular orbital (FMO) method: fragment dimer & beyond Kitaura et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. **312**, 319 ('99); Tanaka et al., ibid. **556**, 272 ('13)
- Linearly scaling 3D fragment (LS3DF) method ~ real-space FMO Wang et al., Proc. Supercomputing, SC08 ('08)
- Density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) White, *Phys. Rev. B* 48, 10345 ('93)
   See notes on (1) singular value decomposition (SVD) & (2) DMRG
- Tensor networks: modern DMRG combine with message passing (belief propagation or <u>Bethe lattice</u>) in 3D?
   <u>Chan & Sharma, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 62</u>, 465 ('11)

## Next: Divide-Conquer-Recombine (DCR)



# **Globally-informed local DC solutions are used in the recombine phase as compact bases to synthesize global properties in broad applications**

F. Shimojo *et al., J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 18A529 ('14) K. Nomura *et al., IEEE/ACM SC14* ('14) T. Razakh *et al., IEEE PDESC* ('24)

### **Divide-Conquer-Recombine Applications**

- **High-order inter-molecular-fragment correlation** Tanaka *et al.*, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **556**, 272 ('13)
- Global frontier orbitals (HOMO & LUMO) via linear combination of domain orbitals (LCDO) Yamada et al., Phys. Rev. B 95, 045106 ('17)
   Note the projection (P & Q = 1-P) — generalized Langevin approach? Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 33, 423 ('65); Kinjo & Hyodo, Mol. Sim. 33, 417 ('07)
- Dielectric response: Simplified inter-layer coupling in layered materials; equation-of-motion decoupling for density response function, *cf*. linearresponse time-dependent DFT in <u>nonadiabatic QMD lecture</u> Andersen *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* **15**, 4616 ('15)
- Electron dynamics: Local plane-wave time-dependent KS equations glued together by Maxwell's equations Sato & Yabana *et al., J. Adv. Sim. Sci. Eng.* **1**, 98 ('14)
- Exciton dynamics: Local nonadiabatic QMD simulations recombined into a global exciton-kinetics graph Mou et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 173301 ('13)

# **Divide-Conquer-Recombine Approach (1)**

- Dielectric response: Simplified inter-layer coupling in layered materials; equation-of-motion decoupling for density response function, *cf.* linearresponse time-dependent DFT in <u>nonadiabatic QMD lecture</u>
- **Density response function**

$$n_{\rm ind}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = \int dr' \chi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega) V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)$$

Multiscale Dyson equations

$$\begin{split} & ilde{\chi} = \chi^0 + \chi^0 ilde{V} ilde{\chi} & ext{Intra-layer (accurate)} \\ & \chi = ilde{\chi} + ilde{\chi} ext{V}^{ ext{I}} \chi & ext{Inter-layer (simplified, 2 DOF)} \end{split}$$



See Dyson equations in a nutshell

# **Divide-Conquer-Recombine Approach (2)**

• Electron dynamics — Maxwell + TDDFT: Local plane-wave time-dependent KS equations glued together by global Maxwell's equations



<u>Sato & Yabana *et al., J. Adv. Sim. Sci. Eng.* 1, 98 ('14)</u>

## **Divide-Conquer-Recombine Approach (3)**



# **Divide-Conquer-Recombine Approach (4)**

#### **Divide-&-conquer Maxwell-Ehrenfest-surface hopping (DC-MESH) method**



- Incorporate multi-physics at appropriate scales & levels of approximation: (1) longrange Hartree coupling of divide-&-conquer (DC) domains; (2) short-range exchange-correlation (*e.g.*, nonlocal xc functional) & light-matter interaction within each domain
- See <u>DC-MESH lecture</u> [Linker *et al.*, *Science Adv.* **8**, eabk2625 (2022); Razakh *et al.*, *PDSEC* (IEEE, '24)]