Nonlocal Pseudopotential Correction Revisited 7/28/21 Objective: Explicitly suppress excitation to above-bandgap states [Wang, JPCM 31, 214002 ('19)]. Definitions [cf. 12/7/20] Let {Enl n=0,..., Norb-1} be Kohn-Sham (KS) energies of Norb KS orbitals incorporating nonlocal pseudopotential (NLP), which are passed from main Maxwell-Ehrenfest-swiface hopping (MESH) Fortran code at time t=0. $\begin{bmatrix} -\nabla^{2} + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{loc} + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{nl} \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{V}_{n}^{nl} \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{n}^{nl} |\mathcal{V}_{n}^{nl} \rangle,$ (1)where $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{loc} \neq \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{nl}$ are local & nonlocal potentials and {14ne> n=0,..., Norb-1} are KS wave functions. Local field dynamics (LFD) is initiated by solving self-consistent field (SCF) iterations using only (unscreened) local pseudopotential to obtain Shadow orbitals {14/2 / n = 0,..., Norb-1} that satisfy $\begin{bmatrix} -\nabla^2 + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{loc} \end{bmatrix} | \mathcal{V}_n \rangle = C_n^{\text{shadow}} | \mathcal{V}_n \rangle^{\text{spsiOII}}$ $\overset{\diamond}{\rightarrow} \text{eshadow}_{\text{gammaII}}$ (2)where {Endow | n=0,..., Norb-1} are shadow KS energies.

2 - LFD with NLP correction Consider time propagation of shadow wave functions $i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi_n(t)\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{R}}(t)|\psi_n(t)\rangle$ $\Rightarrow psill$ (3)where $|\Psi_n(t=0)\rangle = |\Psi_n\rangle$. Time-dependent Hamiltonian in Eq.(3) is defined as $\hat{h}(t) = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{\nabla}{i} + \frac{1}{c} / A(t) \right)^2 + \mathcal{V}_{loc}(ir, t) + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{nl},$ (4) $= \hat{h}_{loc}(t) + \hat{v}_{nl}$ (5)where A(t) is spatially-uniform vector potential and Vioc(1r,t) reflects the change of Hartree \$ exchange-correlation (xc) potentials due to time evolution of electron density.

(3)Time propagator Time evolution of shadow wave function during quantum-dynamics (QD) time step, Dop, is achieved by Trotter expansion, $|\Psi_n(t+\Delta_{QD})\rangle = e^{-i\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{h\ell}\Delta_{QD}/2} e^{-i\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{loc}(t+\Delta_{QD}/2)\Delta_{QD}} e^{-i\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{h\ell}\Delta_{QD}/2}$ $\times |\gamma_{\rm m}(t)\rangle$ (6) While Local propagator, e-ihio(t+A00/2) DOD, is handled by self-consistent unitary propagator [7/9/21], we use a simple Euler propagator for nonlocal time propagator [Vlcek, JCP 150, 184118 ('19)], $e^{-i\hat{v}_{ne}\Delta_{OD}/2}|\psi\rangle \simeq \frac{1-i\hat{v}_{ne}\Delta_{OD}/2}{||(1-i\hat{v}_{ne}\Delta_{OD}/2)|\psi\rangle||}|\psi\rangle$ (7)

(4) - NLP correction by projection We operate \widehat{U}_{nl} approximately by projecting wave functions to vector space V spanned by {14/3}. Let the projection operator to M be (8)Then, for VIY>, $\widehat{\upsilon_{n0}}|\psi\rangle = \widehat{\upsilon_{n0}}\left[\mathcal{P} + (1-\mathcal{P})\right]|\psi\rangle$ = $\Sigma \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{nl}(n) \langle n|\psi \rangle + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{nl}(1-p)|\psi \rangle$ NO = $\Sigma \hat{v_{nl}} | n \rangle \langle n | \psi \rangle$ (9) We introduce a scissor-like approximation, $\hat{v}_{n\ell}|\psi\rangle = \sum \hat{v}_{n\ell}|n\rangle\langle n|\psi\rangle$ $\simeq \sum (C_n^{nl} - C_n^{\text{shadow}}) |n > \langle n | \psi \rangle$ (10)

5 Scissor correction With Iscissor > 0 in demesh code, we adopt scissor-correction scheme [12/9/20], such that $\widetilde{e}_{n}^{nl} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{n}^{\text{shadow}} + \Delta_{sci} (n \ge n_{LUMO}) \\ \varepsilon_{n}^{\text{shadow}} & (n \le n_{HOMO}) \end{cases}$ (11)replaces En in Eq. (10), where scissor shift is As= (Enl Enl) - (Eshadow - Eshadow) (12) and LUMO/HOMO denote Lowest-unoccupied/ highestoccupied molecular orbitals. With scisson approximation, NLP operator becomes $\hat{v}_{n\ell}|\psi\rangle = \Delta_{sci} \sum_{n_{lumo}}^{Norb-1} |n\rangle \langle n|\psi\rangle$ (13)The energy correction is Enec = In < 4/1 vie 14/1 > n $:: C_{nlc} = \Delta_{sci} \sum_{n=0}^{Norb-1} \int_{m=n_{LUMO}}^{Norb-1} |\langle m|n_{n} \rangle|^{2}$ $:: C_{nlc} = \Delta_{sci} \sum_{n=0}^{Norb-1} \int_{m=n_{LUMO}}^{Norb-1} |\langle m|n_{n} \rangle|^{2}$ (14)

6 Time propagation According to Eq. (7), NLP time propagation is $|\psi_n\rangle \leftarrow (1 - i \widehat{\psi_n} A_{OD}/2) |\psi_n\rangle$ $= |\psi_n\rangle - \frac{i\Delta_{sci}\Delta_{QD}}{2}\sum_{m=n_{LUNO}}^{Nab-1} |m\rangle \langle m|\psi_n\rangle$ (15) $|\psi_{h}\rangle \leftarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle\psi_{n}|\psi_{h}\rangle}} |\psi_{h}\rangle$ (16)* Excited components of 12h > will acquire fast phase rotation, to be suppressed; thus excitation will be suppressed without resonance with above-bandgap optical phase rotation * For PTO400nm, Den = 0.02au $\Delta sci = (0.3793 - 0.2406) - (0.6371 - 0.6017)$ = 0.1033 all $\frac{A_{sci}A_{QD}}{2} = \frac{0.1033 \times 0.02}{2} = 1.033 \times 10^{-3} \ll 1$

(7)
 Contraction Arrivel
 Single QD step algorithm
nlp_prop() //Half-time NLP propagation, Eqs. (15) \$ (16)
pot-prop() // Half-time potential propagation
kin_prop_spectral() // Full-time Rinetic propagation
~ on series of space-splitting method (SSM) propagators
pot-prop()
nlp-prop()
*

9 Following Eq. (22) in Wang'19, we apply scissor correction to current matrix element, $\widetilde{P}_{em} = \frac{\widetilde{\epsilon}_{p}^{nl} - \widetilde{\epsilon}_{m}^{nl}}{\varepsilon_{p}^{shadow} - \varepsilon_{m}^{shadow}} \stackrel{P_{em}}{=} \frac{\widetilde{\epsilon}_{lm}^{nl}}{\varepsilon_{p}^{shadow}} \stackrel{P_{em}}{=} \frac{\widetilde{\epsilon}_{lm}^{nl}}{\varepsilon_{p}^{shad}} \stackrel{P_{em}}{=} \frac{\widetilde{\epsilon}_{lm}^{nl}}{\varepsilon_{p}^{shad}} \stackrel{P_{em}}{=} \frac$ (23) Accordingly, change of current due to NLP correction is $SIP = \langle \psi | \frac{\nabla}{2} | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | \frac{\nabla}{2} | \psi \rangle$ (24) $= \sum_{l,m} \langle \psi | l \rangle \left(\frac{\widetilde{\epsilon}_{lm}^{nl}}{\epsilon_{lm}^{shadow}} - 1 \right) | P_{lm} \langle m | \psi \rangle$ (25)With scissor correction, Eq. (11), $\widetilde{\epsilon}_{lm}^{nl} = \left\{ \epsilon_{lm}^{\text{shadow}} + \Delta_{sci} \left(l \ge n_{LDMO}, m \le n_{HOMO} \right) \right\}$ (26)Chadow - Asci (ISMHOMO, MZMUMO) Substituting Eq. (26) into (25), SIP = Z (412) Asci Pem <m14> 12nLUHO MSNHOMO Eshadow Pem <m14> + E E <411> Asci Pen <m14> L≤nHOMO m≥nLUMO Em lm (27)lom min Homo Rin Lumo (4/m) Asci Pml < 214/ = + Eshadow

(10) :. SIP = E E <u>Asci</u> [<412>IP_m<m14>+<41m>IP_m<214>] L2nLUMO MSMHOMO Elm (28)Note the current operator is in fact [6/25/20] $IP_{me} = \frac{1}{2} \left[dir \left[m^{*}(ir) \frac{\nabla}{i} l(ir) - \left(\frac{\nabla}{i} m^{*}(ir) \right) l(ir) \right]$ $= \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{dir} \left[l^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\nabla}{\mathbf{r}} m(\mathbf{r}) - \left(\frac{\nabla}{\mathbf{r}} l^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \right) m(\mathbf{r}) \right]^{*} \right]$ = Pom (29) Substituting Eq. (29) to (28), SIP = Z Z <u>Sci</u> [<412>IPem <m14> + <412>IPem <m14> + 12n umo msn Homo Eshadow [<412>IPem <m14> + 12n umo msn 2 Re< 412> IPam <m14> :SP = 2ZZ Z <u>Asci</u> L2nLUMD mSnHOMO Eshadow Re[<412>Pem<m14>] (30) L2nLUMD mSnHOMO Eshadow where $IP_{em} = \frac{1}{2} \left[dir \left[l^{*}(ir) \frac{\nabla}{i} m(ir) - \left(\frac{\nabla}{i} l^{*}(ir) \right) m(ir) \right] \right]$ (31)

(11) Consider paramagnetic current $j^{P}(ir) = -\sum f_{n} \operatorname{Re}[\Psi_{n}^{*}(ir) \nabla \Psi_{n}(ir)]$ (32)and its contribution to average current $\mathcal{T}_{avg}^{P} \equiv \frac{1}{\Omega} \int d\mathbf{r} \, j^{P}(\mathbf{r})$ (33) $= -\frac{1}{\Omega_{n}} \sum_{n} f_{n} \int d\mathbf{r} \operatorname{ReE} \psi_{n}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \sum_{i} \psi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) \int_{i}^{\infty} \psi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) \int_{i}^{\infty} \psi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$ (34) Substituting Eq. (30) to (34), NLP correction to average current is $S \overline{J}_{avg} = -\frac{2}{\Omega} \sum_{n} f_n \sum_{l \ge n} \sum_{\substack{M < n \le n \le n \le n \le l \ge n \le n \le n \le l \ge n \le N}} \Delta_{sci}$ × Re[<4n12>Pom<m14n>] (35)where $\mathbb{P}_{om} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{dir} \left[l^{*}(\mathrm{ir}) \frac{\nabla}{L} m(\mathrm{ir}) - \left(\frac{\nabla}{L} l^{*}(\mathrm{ir}) \right) m(\mathrm{ir}) \right] \right]$ (36)* The ground-state orbitals {l(11) | l=1,..., Norb-1} are all real, thus (if r-point) $\mathbb{P}_{am} = -\frac{b}{2} \left[\operatorname{dir} \left[\operatorname{lir} \right] \nabla \operatorname{m}(\operatorname{ir}) - \left(\nabla \operatorname{lir} \right) \operatorname{m}(\operatorname{ir}) \right]$ (37)is pure imaginary.

Ground-State Start 7/30/2 Since we now only consider ground-state start, $f_n = \{2 \ (n \le n \text{ homo})\}$ (38)Goccij (O (n znlumo), we are able to simplify NLP-correction computation. - Energy correction $C_{nlc} = \Delta \sum_{sci}^{nhomo} \int_{n=0}^{Norb-1} \frac{|\langle m| \psi_n \rangle|^2}{|\langle m=n_{LUMO} \rangle \langle \langle \psi_n \rangle \rangle}$ (39)Time propagation if n < nhomo 14n> ~ (1-iv_ne DOD/2)14n> $= |\psi_n\rangle - \frac{i\Delta sci\Delta_{QD}}{2} \sum_{m=nlumo}^{Norb-1} |m\rangle \langle m|\psi_n\rangle$ (15) $|2_n\rangle \leftarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_k}|2_n\rangle}$ (16)

(13)Current For occupied state n (snhomo), overlap with initial orbital <m/2/2/ is dominated by that with itself in Eq. (35). Hence, we only retain m=n term in m-sum. $\delta T_{avg} = -\frac{2}{\Omega} \sum_{n=0}^{\text{Rhomo}} f_n Z \qquad \Delta sci \\ Re[\langle v_n | l \rangle P(n) \langle v_n \rangle] \\ \in Shadow \\ \in One \\ end \\$ (40)where $P_{ln} = \frac{1}{2} \left[dir \left[l^*(ir) \frac{\nabla}{i} n(ir) - \left(\frac{\nabla}{i} l^*(ir) \right) n(ir) \right] \right]$ (41) * While Javg can be used for computing optical conductivity [Wang, JPCM 31, 214002 (19)], its effect on electron dynamics through induced vector potential is very small in courrent multiscale Maxwell-solver setting [7124-26/21]. In fact, for slab thickness = 0, there is no effect by Javg on electron propagation. Temporality disable NLP correction on current.